

Town of Milford
Zoning Board of Adjustment ~ DRAFT
January 7, 2021
Case 2021-01
DANA LORDEN AND RORY BROOK
Special Exception

Present: Jason Plourde, Chair
Michael Thornton
Karin Lagro
Joan Dargie (Alternate)
Lincoln Daley, Director of Community Development
Darlene Bouffard, Recording Secretary

Excused: Rob Costantino, Vice Chair
Wade Campbell
Tracy Steel
Paul Dargie, BOS Representative

Chairman Plourde welcomed everyone and declared a State of Emergency as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor's Emergency Order #12 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, the Board of Adjustment is authorized to meet electronically. This meeting is held in accordance with the applicable New Hampshire State statutes, Town of Milford ordinances, and the Zoning Board of Adjustment Rules of Procedure. He stated that there is no physical location to observe and listen contemporaneously to this meeting, which was authorized pursuant to the Governor's Emergency Order. However, in accordance with the Emergency Order, he confirmed that the Board is:

- a) Providing public access to the meeting by telephone, with additional access possibilities by video or other electronic means.
- b) Providing public notice of the necessary information for accessing the meeting.
- c) Providing a mechanism for the public to alert the public body during the meeting if there are problems with access.
- d) Adjourning the meeting if the public is unable to access the meeting.

Chairman Plourde continued by stating that there is one case to be heard tonight. He then proceeded to summarize the hearing process, rules, and procedures for Board Members, applicants and the general public. J. Plourde asked all in attendance to mute themselves unless speaking.

Chairman Plourde stated that all votes that are taken during this meeting must be done by Roll Call vote. He started the meeting by taking roll call attendance. He asked each member to state their name and state whether there was anyone in the room with them during this meeting, which is required under the Right-to-Know law: Jason Plourde at home alone; Karin Lagro at home alone; Michael Thornton at home alone; Joan Dargie in her office alone; Lincoln Daley at home alone; Darlene Bouffard at home alone. Chairman Plourde indicated there are three full members present tonight and one alternate, J. Plourde asked that Joan Dargie be seated as a full ZBA member for this evening with full voting rights.

Case #2021-01

Dana Lorden and Rory Brook for the property located at 25 Park Street, Milford Tax Map 29, Lot 19-1 is seeking a SPECIAL EXCEPTION from the Milford Zoning Ordinance, Article V, Section 5.02.2.A.8 to allow the construction of a single-family residence within 30 foot front dimensional setback in the Residential "A" district.

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA JANUARY 7, 2021, CASE #2021-01 DANA LORDEN AND RORY BROOK - SPECIAL EXCEPTION - DRAFT

1 Dana Lorden presented the application for Case #2021-01, indicating that they found the home
2 was encroaching into the 30' front setback. It is actually 29.2' from the property line. It is the
3 garage that encroaches into the 30' setback. M. Thornton asked if the foundation has been
4 poured? D. Lorden responded yes the foundation is already complete and the house is already
5 complete and ready to be sold. K. Lagro had no questions, this is pretty straightforward and
6 needs relief. J. Dargie had no questions. J. Plourde said the criteria has been addressed and
7 asked for any further questions from the Board. L. Daley indicated the neighborhood homes are
8 fairly close to the road so this would not affect the look of the area.

9
10 J. Plourde asked if anyone from the public wanted to comment, if so, please press *9 on your
11 phone to comment or raise your virtual hand if on the zoom meeting. L. Daley saw no hands
12 raised. There were no comments from the public. J. Plourde closed the public portion of the
13 meeting. The Board entered deliberations.

14
15 DELIBERATIONS:

16 10.2.1

17
18 A- J. Dargie said this is similar to others in the neighborhood, J. Plourde agreed.

19 B – M. Thornton indicated this is the residential district and is consistent with the zoning and a
20 Special Exception is allowed to grant relief; J. Dargie said this is an appropriate relief; K. Lagro
21 stated this matches what is in the neighborhood; J. Plourde agrees it is in the front and is not
22 much closer than others in the area.

23 C – J. Dargie this will not make much difference in the neighborhood; K. Lagro said the 8" will
24 not impact anything; M. Thornton does not see any issue with this.

25 D – K. Lagro does not see any hazard to vehicles or pedestrians; M. Thornton, J. Plourde and J.
26 Dargie agreed.

27 E – M. Thornton does not see that this applies since the 8" does not impact anything; J. Dargie
28 said 8" will not affect this; K. Lagro said the use is not impacted at all; J. Plourde agreed.

29
30 VOTING:

31 1- K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton, J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

32 2- M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

33
34 10.2.1.A

35 A- K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

36 B – M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

37 C – J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Plourde yes.

38 D – J. Dargie yes; M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

39 E – M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro no; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

40
41 J. Dargie moved to approve Case 2021-01. K. Lagro seconded. A poll was taken: K. Lagro yes;
42 M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

43
44 J. Plourde stated the criteria for Case 2021-01 has been satisfied, therefore the application is ap-
45 proved. There is a 30-day appeal period which is February 7, 2021.

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA JANUARY 7, 2021, CASE #2021-01 DANA LORDEN AND RORY BROOK - SPECIAL EXCEPTION - DRAFT

1 The minutes of December 3, 2020 were reviewed. The minutes for case #2020-29 of December
2 3, 2020 were approved on motion made by M. Thornton and seconded by K. Lagro. A poll was
3 taken: M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.
4

5 The minutes for case #2020-30 of December 3, 2020 were approved on motion made by K.
6 Lagro and seconded by M. Thornton. A poll was taken: K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dar-
7 gie yes; J. Plourde yes.
8

9 Motion to Approve: _____
10

11 Seconded: _____
12

13 Signed: _____
14

15 Date: _____
16

17 **THE MINUTES OF 2021-01 ON 1/7/2021 WERE APPROVED _____**

Town of Milford
Zoning Board of Adjustment ~ DRAFT
January 7, 2021
Case 2021-02
THOMAS & TONI NELSON
Special Exception

Present: Jason Plourde, Chair
Michael Thornton
Karin Lagro
Joan Dargie (Alternate)
Lincoln Daley, Director of Community Development
Darlene Bouffard, Recording Secretary

Excused: Rob Costantino, Vice Chair
Wade Campbell
Tracy Steel
Paul Dargie, BOS Representative

Chairman Plourde welcomed everyone and declared a State of Emergency as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor's Emergency Order #12 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, the Board of Adjustment is authorized to meet electronically. This meeting is held in accordance with the applicable New Hampshire State statutes, Town of Milford ordinances, and the Zoning Board of Adjustment Rules of Procedure. He stated that there is no physical location to observe and listen contemporaneously to this meeting, which was authorized pursuant to the Governor's Emergency Order. However, in accordance with the Emergency Order, he confirmed that the Board is:

- a) Providing public access to the meeting by telephone, with additional access possibilities by video or other electronic means.
- b) Providing public notice of the necessary information for accessing the meeting.
- c) Providing a mechanism for the public to alert the public body during the meeting if there are problems with access.
- d) Adjourning the meeting if the public is unable to access the meeting.

Chairman Plourde continued by stating that there is one case to be heard tonight. He then proceeded to summarize the hearing process, rules, and procedures for Board Members, applicants and the general public. J. Plourde asked all in attendance to mute themselves unless speaking.

Chairman Plourde stated that all votes that are taken during this meeting must be done by Roll Call vote. He started the meeting by taking roll call attendance. He asked each member to state their name and state whether there was anyone in the room with them during this meeting, which is required under the Right-to-Know law: Jason Plourde at home alone; Karin Lagro at home alone; Michael Thornton at home alone; Joan Dargie in her office alone; Lincoln Daley at home alone; Darlene Bouffard at home alone. Chairman Plourde indicated there are three regular members present tonight and one alternate, J. Plourde asked that Joan Dargie be seated as a regular full ZBA member for this evening with full voting rights.

Case #2021-02

Thomas and Toni Nelson, 140 Comstock Drive, Milford Tax Map 52, Lot 35 is seeking a SPECIAL EXCEPTION from the Milford Zoning Ordinance, Article VII, Section 7.12.6 and 7.12.8 and Article X, Section 10.02.1 to convert a portion of the garage (approx. 288 square feet) into a pet grooming home business with associated retail sales within the Residential "R" district.

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA JANUARY 7, 2021, CASE #2021-02 THOMAS & TONI NELSON - SPECIAL EXCEPTION - DRAFT

1 J. Plourde indicated this same case was before the ZBA in 2013 and based on review, it is a simi-
2 lar application that was presented in 2013. At that time, it was denied, is it valid for the same
3 application to be brought forward even though it was previously denied? It needs to have some
4 substantial change to the application in order to be heard again. Back in 2013 pet grooming was
5 not an accepted home business, J. Plourde feels that because it is now an allowed use as a home
6 business it can be heard. A determination should be made by the whole ZBA whether or not to
7 hear the application because the zoning ordinance has been changed since 2013 and the ZBA
8 should determine if that is considered a substantial change. L. Daley explained the pet grooming
9 business was not an allowed use back in 2013 and the ZBA felt the use would affect the neigh-
10 boring properties. The change between 2013 and 2019 is that the ordinance adopted home based
11 business, which replaced the home occupation requirement; that is the context that the ZBA
12 needs to debate this evening.
13

14 J. Plourde said M. Thornton was on the ZBA in 2013 when this case was heard, is it valid for the
15 current ZBA to hear this case? M. Thornton feels the changes to the ordinance are substantial
16 enough that we should hear the case but the concerns in 2013 would still be valid today. K.
17 Lagro said if it was deemed a decision based on the ordinance she agrees. J. Plourde feels that
18 the ordinance has changed enough that the case should be heard tonight.
19

20 M. Thornton moved that the ZBA hear the case based on the changes made to the zoning ordi-
21 nance that allow home based businesses. J. Dargie seconded. A poll was taken: M. Thornton
22 yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.
23

24 Thomas Nelson said the business was previously located at the Granite Town Plaza, however un-
25 der the present circumstances, it is felt they would like to move the business to a portion of their
26 home garage as a small, low volume pet grooming business. Included in this are improvements
27 to the driveway, lighting and access. The garage has not been used as a garage for a very long
28 time. Clients are not permitted to park in the street and there is a turn around in the driveway for
29 the cars so they do not need to back into the street. J. Plourde noted that back in 2013 some con-
30 cerns included the number of animals and animal waste. Toni Nelson indicated the effluent has
31 been dealt with and the animal waste will be disposed of appropriately. J. Plourde asked if there
32 is any way we can guarantee the business stays the size you are presenting? T. Nelson said she is
33 as busy as she wants to be and sees no reason to want to expand, there will be 3-4 animals per
34 day. J. Plourde said waste disposal and lighting were addressed, what about bad weather, will
35 there be a change in the operations in bad weather? T. Nelson said the driveway will be cleared
36 before any customers arrive.
37

38 J. Plourde asked if any aspect of the business will be seen by any of the abutters? T. Nelson re-
39 sponded no, only a directional sign showing where to enter. J. Plourde asked about the garage
40 door. Thomas Nelson said the garage door has been replaced by French doors to serve as insula-
41 tion. M. Thornton said the doors for a business use must swing out. Thomas Nelson agreed,
42 stating that is what he installed. J. Plourde asked if there will be any business conducted outside?
43 Toni Nelson explained no, it will all be done inside. K. Lagro said there will be 3-4 dogs on the
44 property at one time and how many dogs do you have? Toni Nelson responded she has 8 dogs
45 that are all licensed and she will groom 3-4 dogs per day, not all at the same time. M. Thornton
46 noted that a home business can have no more than vehicle 16 visits per day. J. Plourde stated for
47 each pet, 4 trips would be required. M. Thornton said just going by that, it is limited to 4 animals

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA JANUARY 7, 2021, CASE #2021-02 THOMAS & TONI NELSON - SPECIAL EXCEPTION - DRAFT

1 per day. J. Plourde said this home business would be limited to four dogs per day. K. Lagro
2 asked about any retail. Toni Nelson will provide retail only for customers; there will not be peo-
3 ple coming in just for product. Toni Nelson has a delivery of dog food once a week. M.
4 Thornton's concern in 2013 was the number of daily clients, noting that the food delivery should
5 be arranged so that it does not interfere with the client visits. J. Dargie feels all her questions
6 have been addressed.

7
8 L. Daley asked where will the lights be added? Thomas Nelson will have them installed for
9 driveway lighting. L. Daley asked if that will cast light onto the neighboring property? Thomas
10 Nelson replied no, it is downcast and does not spill to the abutters. L. Daley asked if improve-
11 ments to the property were permitted through the Building Department? Toni Nelson said the
12 contractors did the improvements and she believes they got permits but will check on that.

13
14 J. Plourde opened the meeting to the public. Judy Keller, abutter, spoke in favor of this business,
15 noting the lights do not bother her and she does not think having 4 clients per day will impact the
16 neighborhood. Seeing no other members of the public wishing to comment, J. Plourde closed the
17 public portion of the meeting. J. Plourde asked if there were any letters or emails received con-
18 cerning this case? L. Daley said there was one letter of support from the Keller's received Janu-
19 ary 1, 2021. J. Plourde asked if Toni is the only employee? Toni responded that she is and her
20 business hours will be Tuesday through Friday 8:30 am to 5:00 pm and Saturday 8:30 am to 3:30
21 pm, she also noted that she will work within the area identified in the application.

22 There were no further comments or questions from the ZBA, therefore the Board entered deliber-
23 ations.

24
25 DELIBERATIONS:

26 10.2.1

27
28 A - K. Lagro said this is an allowed use by Special Exception; M. Thornton said the number of
29 trips are consistent with a home based business in this area, this application is different from the
30 previous application.

31 B - M. Thornton and J. Dargie both agree the garage is appropriate for the proper use of this
32 home business; K. Lagro the cars will not be parked in the street.

33 C - J. Dargie indicated the changes do not affect the area; K Lagro said as long as the owner is
34 diligent with dog waste there is no adverse affect to the neighborhood; J. Plourde wonders how
35 we can ensure that this continues to not impact the area? L. Daley responded we would hear
36 from the abutters and it would become an enforcement issue; K. Lagro said because of the limit
37 on traffic trips, we do not need to put any condition on the decision; L. Daley agreed stating the
38 ordinance already has the parameters built in; J. Dargie wants it in the record the number of cli-
39 ents, Toni Nelson stated she agrees to 3-4 clients per day. M. Thornton moved to limit the num-
40 ber of clients to 4 per day. J. Dargie seconded. A poll was taken: M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie
41 yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

42 D - M. Thornton recommended some kennel panels for an air lock for security of the animals
43 and people for the benefit the business and for liability; K. Lagro has seen that type of set up be-
44 tween two sets of doors.

45 E - J. Dargie said it looks like the facility is adequate and appropriate for the use; K. Lagro
46 agreed; M. Thornton said the responsibility is with the business owner; J. Plourde agreed.

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA JANUARY 7, 2021, CASE #2021-02 THOMAS & TONI NELSON - SPECIAL EXCEPTION - DRAFT

1 Seeing no further deliberations, J. Plourde entered voting:
2

3 VOTING:

4 7.2.2.6

5 1- K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

6 2- M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

7 3 - M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

8 4 - M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

9 5 - M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

10 6 - M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

11 7 - M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

12 8 - M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

13 9 - M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

14 10 - M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

15
16 10.2.1.A

17 1- K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

18 2- M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

19 3- M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

20 4- M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

21 5- J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro no; M. Thornton yes; J. Plourde yes.

22 6 - M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

23 7 - M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

24
25 M. Thornton moved to approve Case 2021-02 with the stipulations noted in deliberations. J.
26 Dargie seconded. A poll was taken: K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde
27 yes.

28
29 J. Plourde stated the criteria for Case 2021-02 has been satisfied, therefore the application is ap-
30 proved. There is a 30-day appeal period which is February 7, 2021.

31
32 Motion to Approve: _____

33
34 Seconded: _____

35
36 Signed: _____

37
38 Date: _____

39
40 **THE MINUTES OF 2021-02 ON 1/7/2021 WERE APPROVED** _____

**Town of Milford
Zoning Board of Adjustment
January 7, 2021**

Case 2021-03

MICHAEL SERAIKAS AND BADGER HILL PROPERTIES LLC
Special Exception

Present: Jason Plourde, Chair
Michael Thornton
Karin Lagro
Joan Dargie (Alternate)
Lincoln Daley, Director of Community Development
Darlene Bouffard, Recording Secretary

Excused: Rob Costantino, Vice Chair
Wade Campbell
Tracy Steel
Paul Dargie, BOS Representative

Chairman Plourde welcomed everyone and declared a State of Emergency as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor's Emergency Order #12 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, the Board of Adjustment is authorized to meet electronically. This meeting is held in accordance with the applicable New Hampshire State statutes, Town of Milford ordinances, and the Zoning Board of Adjustment Rules of Procedure. He stated that there is no physical location to observe and listen contemporaneously to this meeting, which was authorized pursuant to the Governor's Emergency Order. However, in accordance with the Emergency Order, he confirmed that the Board is:

- a) Providing public access to the meeting by telephone, with additional access possibilities by video or other electronic means.
- b) Providing public notice of the necessary information for accessing the meeting.
- c) Providing a mechanism for the public to alert the public body during the meeting if there are problems with access.
- d) Adjourning the meeting if the public is unable to access the meeting.

Chairman Plourde continued by stating that there is one case to be heard tonight. He then proceeded to summarize the hearing process, rules, and procedures for Board Members, applicants and the general public. J. Plourde asked all in attendance to mute themselves unless speaking.

Chairman Plourde stated that all votes that are taken during this meeting must be done by Roll Call vote. He started the meeting by taking roll call attendance. He asked each member to state their name and state whether there was anyone in the room with them during this meeting, which is required under the Right-to-Know law: Jason Plourde at home alone; Karin Lagro at home alone; Michael Thornton at home alone; Joan Dargie in her office alone; Lincoln Daley at home alone; Darlene Bouffard at home alone.

Case #2021-03

Michael Seraikas and Badger Hill Properties LLC for the property located at Milford Tax Map 51, Lot 26-78 is seeking a SPECIAL EXCEPTION from the Milford Zoning Ordinance, Article VI, Section 6.02.6.B to disturb approximately 450 square feet of wetland buffer area to allow the construction of residential home and related site improvements in the Residential "R" zoning district.

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA JANUARY 7, 2021, CASE #2021-03 MICHAEL SERAIKAS AND BADGER HILL PROPERTIES LLC - SPECIAL EXCEPTION

1 Chairman Plourde indicated there are three regular members present tonight and one alternate, J.
2 Plourde asked that Joan Dargie be seated as a regular full ZBA member for this evening with full
3 voting rights.

4
5 Michael Seraikas presented the information for the Special Exception requesting 450 sf of wet-
6 land impact. M. Thornton asked L. Daley if this impacts something the town is trying to protect?
7 L. Daley said this was reviewed by Milford Conservation Commission and MCC member Chris
8 Costantino is present for this meeting. Chris Costantino stated there is a reason why fill is not
9 put in a wetland, the fill was actually not put in the wetland but put in the wetland buffer. C.
10 Costantino suggested having a wetland scientist look at this. M. Seraikas is a wetland scientist.
11 C. Costantino said the MCC feels the rocks being there would affect the wetland. M. Seraikas
12 said there was not a lot of buffer there when they did this. A buffer that has been disturbed has
13 no value and suggested this wetland has no value. In a case like this, there is not a lot of value.
14 It may have some nutrients, but there is not a lot of value to this particular wetland. C. Costanti-
15 no asked if some plantings could be added? M. Seraikas said the buffer for wildlife might help
16 for small mammals. C. Costantino said there has been a lot of excessive impact to this site and
17 we are just finding a way to mitigate that. J. Plourde relies on MCC for wetlands, plantings, etc.
18 especially in sensitive areas, so if you are okay with adding plantings to mitigate, that would be
19 helpful. M. Seraikas said he can put together a list of plantings and get that to L. Daley to be
20 added. MCC had a site walk 12/10/20 provided a memo about the criteria. Erosion control bar-
21 riers will be put up before any more digging gets done.

22
23 J. Plourde asked if there are any further questions from ZBA members. K. Lagro asked if the
24 buffer was disturbed, and if it will impact any neighbors? M. Seraikas responded they are not in
25 the wetlands, this is requesting a 450 sf impact of the wetland buffer and will not affect any other
26 lots. This is the wetland headwall, he does not see any negative impact by this 450 sf impact. J.
27 Plourde opened the public portion of the meeting, asking for people to press *9 if they wish to
28 speak. Michael Verraso stated he will be the new owner of this property, stating he loves the ar-
29 ea and neighborhood and has sold his home and is temporarily relocating until this house is com-
30 pleted. There were no other questions from the public. There were no further comments or ques-
31 tions from the ZBA, therefore the Board entered deliberations.

32
33 DELIBERATIONS:

34 10.2.1

35
36 1 - M. Thornton said this is a unique application; J. Dargie said it is similar to other properties in
37 the development; K. Lagro agreed it is similar to other changes to the site and will not cause ad-
38 ditional impacts; J. Plourde said this is within the criteria for a Special Exception.

39 2- J. Dargie yes it is a wetlands buffer; K. Lagro agreed; M. Thornton agreed.

40 3- K. Lagro said there are no adverse affects to the neighboring area ; M. Thornton and J. Dargie
41 said the use will not adversely affect the area with added plantings as suggested by MCC.

42 4- M. Thornton, J. Dargie, K. Lagro all agreed.

43 5- J. Dargie, K. Lagro, M. Thornton all agreed.

44 6 – J. Dargie said this is one of the last properties in this development to be developed; M.

45 Thornton said here might have been other stipulations but it is only 450 sf and this is one of the
46 last properties in this subdivision and there will be mitigation.

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA JANUARY 7, 2021, CASE #2021-03 MICHAEL SERAIKAS AND BADGER HILL PROPERTIES LLC - SPECIAL EXCEPTION

1 7 – J. Dargie said this was discussed and has been addressed; K. Lagro, M. Thornton and J.
2 Plourde agreed.

3
4 Seeing no further deliberations, J. Plourde entered voting:

5
6 VOTING:

7 10.2.1

8 1- K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

9 2- M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

10
11 10.2.1.A

12 1- M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

13 2- J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Plourde yes.

14 3- K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Plourde yes.

15 4- J. Dargie yes; M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

16 5-M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro no; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

17
18 6.02.6

19 1- M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

20 2- J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Plourde yes.

21 3- K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Plourde yes.

22 4- J. Dargie yes; M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

23 5- M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro no; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

24 6 – J. Dargie yes; M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.

25 7 - K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Plourde yes.

26
27 J. Dargie moved to approve Case 2021-03. K. Lagro seconded. A poll was taken: K. Lagro yes;
28 J. Dargie yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Plourde yes.

29
30 J. Plourde stated the criteria for Case 2021-03 has been satisfied, therefore the application is ap-
31 proved. There is a 30-day appeal period which is February 7, 2021.

32
33 The applicant M. Seraikas thanked the board for their time and will coordinate with L. Daley for
34 the mitigation.

35
36 M. Thornton moved to approve the minutes of 12/3/2020 for case 2020-29 as presented. A poll
37 was taken: M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde yes.

38
39 K. Lagro moved to approve the minutes of 12/3/2020 for case 2020-30 as presented. M.
40 Thornton seconded. A poll was taken: M. Thornton yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; J. Plourde
41 yes.

42
43 K. Lagro moved to adjourn at 9:40 p.m. J. Dargie seconded. A poll was taken: K. Lagro yes; J.
44 Dargie yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Plourde yes.

45
46 Motion to Approve: _____
47

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA JANUARY 7, 2021, CASE #2021-03 MICHAEL SERAIKAS AND BADGER HILL PROPERTIES LLC - SPECIAL EXCEPTION

1 Seconded: _____

2

3 Signed: _____

4

5 Date: _____

6

7 THE MINUTES OF 2021-03 ON 1/7/2021 WERE APPROVED _____