

Town of Milford Zoning Board of Adjustment AUGUST 5, 2021 Public Hearings

CASE #2021-10 Duane S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers Trustee, SPECIAL EXCEPTION CASE #2021-15 Ryan and Abby Farnsworth, SPECIAL EXCEPTION CASE #2021-16 Gretchen Davidson, VARIANCE

Present: Jason Plourde, Chair

Rob Costantino, Vice Chair Karin Lagro, Member Michael Thornton, Member Joan Dargie, Alternate

Paul Dargie, BOS Representative

Lincoln Daley, Director of Community Development

Not Present: Tracy Steele, Member

Jane Hesketh, Recording Clerk

Meeting Agenda

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Public Hearing(s):
- a. Case #2021-10 Duane S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers Trustee, for the property located at 4 Fernwood Drive, Tax Map 48, Lot 55 is seeking a Special Exception from the Milford Zoning Ordinance, Article V, Section 5.04.2.7 to allow the construction of a 24' x 28' accessory structure (detached garage) within the 30 foot and 15 foot side dimensional setbacks in the Residential 'R' Zoning District.
- b. Case #2021-15 Ryan and Abby Farnsworth for the property located at 53 Valhalla Drive, Tax Map 36, Lot 74 is seeking a Special Exception from the Milford Zoning Ordinance, Article V, Section 5.02.2.A.8 to allow the construction of accessory structure (shed) within the 15 foot side dimensional setback in the Residential 'A' Zoning District.
- c. Case #2021-16 Gretchen Davidson for the property located at 64 Federal Hill Road, Tax Map 48, Lot 43 is seeking a Variance from Milford Zoning Ordinance, Article V, Section 5.02.4 to allow the creation/subdivision of a lot with less than the minimum required frontage (150') on a principle route of access on a Class V road or better in the Residential 'A' Zoning District.
- 3. Meeting Minutes: 6/17/21, 7/1/21
- 4. Other Business: TBD 5. Next Meeting: a. August 19, 2021 b. September 2, 2021

MINUTES OF THE ZBA MEETING AUGUST 5, 2021

Public Hearings

CASE #2021-10 Duane S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers Trustee, SPECIAL EXCEPTION

CASE #2021-15 Ryan and Abby Farnsworth, SPECIAL EXCEPTION

CASE #2021-16 Gretchen Davidson, VARIANCE

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Plourde opened the meeting by welcoming everyone and introducing himself. He welcomed those attending in person and electronically since this meeting is being conducted in a unique manner.

He stated you may also attend this meeting in person at the Milford Town Hall, Board of Selectmen's Meeting Room with all Covid protocols in place.

If you would like to participate in the public meeting, please call this number from home: +1 646-558-8656 and enter the Meeting ID: 851 6407 7601 and Password: 269952 or log in via www.zoom.com using the Meeting ID and Password previously stated.

A digital copy of the meeting materials can be found on the Town website at: https://www.milford.nh.gov/zoning-boardadjustment/agenda/zba-agenda-01july2021. We will also be live streaming the meeting on Granite Town Media, Government Channel 21: http://gtm.milford.nh.gov/CablecastPublicSite/watch/2?channel=2

He then went on to inform everyone about the procedures of the Board.

Chair Plourde stated all votes taken during the meeting must be done by Roll Call vote. He started the meeting with a roll call attendance by asking each member to state their name, where they are located (for those attending remotely) and if there was anyone in the room with them. This is required under the Right-to-Know Law. Roll Call Attendance: Jason Plourde present; K. Lagro present; M. Thornton present; R. Costantino present; J. Dargie.

Chair Plourde stated there are 4 voting members present and 1 alternate, therefore, Joan Dargie will be a voting member for this meeting. He then stated there are 3 cases; 1 has requested a postponement, 1 is a new case and 1 was a case previously postponed.

He then explained the process of the case hearings for the applicants and the public. He said a full agenda may not allow all cases to be heard and that at 10:00 p.m. the meeting will end. He explained how the meeting would proceed for the cases that may not be heard in that they would be continued to the next meeting or another agreed upon meeting. He also explained the notification process for continued cases.

He then asked for a motion to take the cases out of order since the last case, #2021-16, has requested a postponement. J. Dargie made a motion to hear the cases out of order and R. Costantino seconded the motion. The Chair asked for a vote on this motion: M. Thornton yes; R. Costantino yes; J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro yes; chair votes yes.

He then moved onto the first case.

MINUTES OF THE ZBA MEETING AUGUST 5, 2021 2 Public Hearings 3 CASE #2021-10 Duane S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers Trustee, SPECIAL EXCEPTION CASE #2021-15 Ryan and Abby Farnsworth, SPECIAL EXCEPTION 4 5 CASE #2021-16 Gretchen Davidson, VARIANCE 6 7 8 2. PUBLIC HEARINGS 9 10 a. Case #2021-16 Gretchen Davidson 11 For the property located at 64 Federal Hill Road, Tax Map 48, Lot 43 is seeking a Variance from Milford Zoning 12 Ordinance, Article V, Section 5.02.4 to allow the creation/subdivision of a lot with less than the minimum required frontage 13 (150') on a principle route of access on a Class V road or better in the Residential 'A' Zoning District. 14 15 J. Dargie made a motion to postpone Case #2021-16 to September 2, 2021 and R. Costantino seconded it. J. Plourde asked 16 for a vote on this motion: M. Thornton yes; R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Dargie yes; chair votes yes. 17 18 Case #2021-16 will be postponed to September 2, 2021 at 7:00 p.m. 19 20 Chair Plourde moved on to the next case. 21 22 23 b. Case #2021-10 Duane S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers Trustee 24 For the property located at 4 Fernwood Drive, Tax Map 48, Lot 55 is seeking a Special Exception from the Milford Zoning 25 Ordinance, Article V, Section 5.04.2.7 to allow the construction of a 24' x 28' accessory structure (detached garage) within the 30 foot and 15 foot side dimensional setbacks in the Residential 'R' Zoning District. 26 27 28 The applicant, Duane Myers, stepped forward to make his presentation. 29 30 He explained he needs the extra space for his cars. He showed on a map where the garage will be placed and the concerns 31 with the septic field location. Now that he knows where the septic is located, he is able to situate the proposed garage to the 32 left of the driveway. There was discussion regarding the portion of his driveway that is shared with the town; specifically in 33 regards to snow plowing. 34 35 J. Plourde asked how tall the garage will be. D. Myers: 8 ft. doors and a 12' pitch roof. 36 37 D. Myers explained the map of his property that shows where the garage will be built (signified by a black outline). It 38 shows that it will be 20' from the front property line, 10' from the septic and 1 foot from the shared town portion (notch). 39 He also showed a layout with a mockup of the proposed garage as well as various other pictures. The pictures assisted in 40 depicting to the board how the structure will be placed especially in relation to the turnaround for the town trucks. 41 J. Plourde asked the board if there were any questions in regards to the criteria. The board expressed their appreciation for 42 43 the amount of work put into the presentation and felt it was very thorough. There were no further questions so Chair 44 Plourde opened the meeting to the public. There were no comments from the public so that portion of the meeting was 45 closed. 46 47 **Deliberations:** 48 49 J. Plourde stated deliberations will be for the 5 Special Exception criteria under 10.2.1: 50 51 a. Criteria: proposed use is similar to those permitted in the district 52 J. Dargie: it is similar; this is a 2 car garage 53

K. Lagro: agrees with J. Dargie R. Costantino: nothing to add

M. Thornton: perfectly normal to have a 2 car garage

J. Plourde: agrees

54

5556

2	Public Hearings
3	CASE #2021-10 Duane S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers Trustee, SPECIAL EXCEPTION
4	CASE #2021-15 Ryan and Abby Farnsworth, SPECIAL EXCEPTION
5	CASE #2021-16 Gretchen Davidson, VARIANCE
6	Charle #2021 To Greenen Burrason, Whiteh Well
7	
8	2. PUBLIC HEARINGS
	2. <u>FUBLIC HEARINGS</u>
9	
10	b. Criteria: specific site is in an appropriate location for the proposed use
11	M. Thornton: the placement is appropriate for the town and the property owner
12	R. Costantino: agrees with M. Thornton and it does not change the character of the house or the neighborhood
13	K. Lagro: the location allows for the turn-around of town vehicles for snow removal
14	J. Dargie: agrees with K. Lagro
15	J. Plourde: agrees
16	
17	c. Criteria: the use as developed will not adversely affect the adjacent area
18	K. Lagro: dead end street with no possibility that this will change and allows for snow removal
19	J. Dargie: nothing is going to change in this area
20	M. Thornton: does not appear that anyone will be negatively affected
21	R. Costantino: agrees
	J. Plourde: agrees
22 23	o. I louido. del con
24	d. Criteria: no nuisance or serious hazard to vehicles or pedestrians
25	R. Costantino: surrounded by town property; sees no nuisance being created
26	M. Thornton: agrees
27	J. Dargie: agrees
28	K. Lagro: agrees
29	J. Plourde: agrees
30	J. I louido. destocs
31	e. Criteria: adequate and appropriate facilities will be provided for proper operation of the proposed use
32	J. Dargie: it will be adequate and appropriate
33	K. Lagro: the driveway is wide enough for the use of the garage and not impact the town turn-around
34	R. Costantino: agrees and pointed out there will be a town inspection
35	M. Thornton: agrees
36	J. Plourde: agrees
37	I D.1 ' (1 (4)11 10 - ' (4)4 - 1 (4 1' 1 -)1 (4 ' (4)1' 1 -)1
38	L. Daley pointed out there will be a second floor in the garage with a bathroom which will access the existing
39	septic.
40	
41	Voting:
42	
43	The ZBA voted on the 5 criteria under Special Exception 10.2.1.
44	a. R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; chair votes yes
45	b. K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; R. Costantino yes; chair votes yes.
46	c. M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; chair votes yes.
47	d. J. Dargie yes; R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; chair votes yes.
48	e. R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; chair votes yes.
49	
50	Is the Special Exception allowed by the Ordinance? K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; R. Costantino yes; chair
51	votes yes.
52	
53	Are all the specified conditions present under which the Special Exception may be granted? M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes;
54	R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; chair votes yes.
55	Chair Plourde stated all the criteria for the Special Exception has been satisfied.
56	
57	Chair Plourde asked if there is a motion to approve Case #2021-10 Duane S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers
58	Trustee for the property located at 4 Fernwood Drive, Tax Map 48, Lot 55 is seeking a Special Exception from the Milford

MINUTES OF THE ZBA MEETING AUGUST 5, 2021

59

60

Zoning Ordinance, Article V, Section 5.04.2.7 to allow the construction of a 24' x 28' accessory structure (detached garage)

within the 30 foot and 15 foot side dimensional setbacks in the Residential 'R' Zoning District.

1 MINUTES OF THE ZBA MEETING AUGUST 5, 2021 2 Public Hearings 3 CASE #2021-10 Duane S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers Trustee, SPECIAL EXCEPTION

CASE #2021-10 Duane S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers Tru CASE #2021-15 Ryan and Abby Farnsworth, SPECIAL EXCEPTION

CASE #2021-16 Gretchen Davidson, VARIANCE

2. PUBLIC HEARINGS (continued)

J. Dargie made a motion to approve Case #2021-10 and K. Lagro seconded the motion.

J. Plourde: A motion has been made to approve Case #2021-10. Those in favor: J. Dargie yes; R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; chair votes yes.

Chair Plourde stated the criteria for the special exception request had been satisfied and the application approved. There is a 30 day appeal period that can be filed with the Zoning Board.

c. Case #2021-15 Ryan and Abby Farnsworth

For the property located at 53 Valhalla Drive, Tax Map 36, Lot 74 is seeking a Special Exception from the Milford Zoning Ordinance, Article V, Section 5.02.2.A.8 to allow the construction of accessory structure (shed) within the 15 foot side dimensional setback in the Residential 'A' Zoning District.

Ryan Farnsworth stepped forward to address the board. He stated he is looking to replace the existing 2 shed. The square footage will be less than the 2 sheds presently there, but it will be one large shed. He explained it will be best placed where the current sheds are located, but it is within the 15 foot set back. He the access is needed to be closer to the driveway. He has checked with neighbors. In addition, a survey was done of the property which determined there is more property than he thought. The current sheds are within 6 feet of the property line and the proposed shed (which will be wider) will be within 4 feet of the property line. He presented a picture of the current sheds and his yard. He explained how removing the 2 sheds with one larger shed to match the house will be more aesthetically pleasing and in line with the characteristics of the neighborhood. There are letters from neighbors in the packet he submitted. He then went on to state having the shed in the backyard would create a drainage problem.

J. Plourde then discussed the possibility of having the new shed sit 6 feet instead of the 4 feet from the property line; especially because the square footage of the 1 shed will be less than the current 2 sheds.

R. Farnsworth: Vinyl fencing that encloses the yard with arbor would have to be moved since the new shed is wider than the 2 sheds.

J. Plourde: He stated the new shed will clean the yard up and will provide privacy for the neighbors as well. He asked if there were questions.

R. Costantino stated he appreciated the map of the other sheds in the neighborhood and liked the applicant's presentation.

M. Thornton: To L. Daley and J. Plourde are we discussing section 5.02.2.8? L. Daley: yes

K. Largo: no questions; stated her appreciation for the thorough presentation

J. Dargie: no questions; just confirmed the size of the shed

 J. Plourde opened the meeting to the public. Hearing none and seeing none he closed the meeting to the public and moved to deliberations.

Deliberations:

J. Plourde stated deliberations will be for the 5 Special Exception criteria under 10.2.1:

MINUTES OF THE ZBA MEETING AUGUST 5, 2021 2 Public Hearings 3 CASE #2021-10 Duane S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers Trustee, SPECIAL EXCEPTION 4 CASE #2021-15 Ryan and Abby Farnsworth, SPECIAL EXCEPTION 5 CASE #2021-16 Gretchen Davidson, VARIANCE 6 7 8 2. PUBLIC HEARINGS (continued) 9 10 a. Criteria: proposed use is similar to those permitted in the district M. Thornton: absolutely; looking at the neighborhood this type of shed is common 11 R. Costantino: sheds are allowed within the setback with a special exception 12 13 K. Lagro: agrees 14 J. Dargie: agrees 15 J. Plourde: agrees 16 b. Criteria: specific site is in an appropriate location for the proposed use 17 R. Costantino: yes, replacing existing sheds in the same spot 18 19 K. Lagro: neighbors agree 20 J. Dargie: agrees 21 M. Thornton: agrees 22 23 J. Plourde: agrees especially by looking at it from both sides 24 c. Criteria: the use as developed will not adversely affect the adjacent area 25 K. Lagro: it will actually improve the area 26 J. Dargie: agrees it will not adversely affect the area 27 M. Thornton: it will not and neighbors are in favor 28 R. Costantino: agrees 29 J. Plourde: agrees 30 31 d. Criteria: no nuisance or serious hazard to vehicles or pedestrians 32 J. Dargie: probably a safer situation 33 M. Thornton: sees no reason this would be hazardous R. Costantino: agrees 34 K. Lagro: agrees 35 36 J. Plourde: agrees 37 38 e. Criteria: adequate and appropriate facilities will be provided for proper operation of the proposed use 39 M. Thornton: sees no facilities that would be necessary for operating a shed 40 R. Costantino: agrees 41 K. Lagro: agrees J. Dargie: agrees 42 43 J. Plourde: agrees 44 45 Voting: 46 47 The ZBA voted on the 5 criteria under Special Exception 10.2.1. 48 a. R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; chair votes yes 49 b. K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; R. Costantino yes; chair votes yes. 50 c. M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; chair votes yes. 51 d. J. Dargie yes; R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; chair votes yes. 52 e. R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; chair votes yes. 53 54 Is the Special Exception allowed by the Ordinance? K. Lagro yes; M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes; R. Costantino yes; chair 55 votes yes. 56 57 Are all the specified conditions present under which the Special Exception may be granted? M. Thornton yes; J. Dargie yes;

Chair Plourde stated all the criteria for the Special Exception has been satisfied.

R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; chair votes yes.

58

5960

	S. Myers Revocable Trust, Duane S. Myers Trustee, SPECIAL EXCEPTION and Abby Farnsworth, SPECIAL EXCEPTION ten Davidson, VARIANCE
2. <u>PUBLIC HEARING</u>	S (continued)
at 53 Valhalla Drive, Tax	here is a motion to approve Case #2021-15 Ryan and Abby Farnsworth for the property lock Map 36, Lot 74 is seeking a Special Exception from the Milford Zoning Ordinance, Article ow the construction of accessory structure (shed) within the 15 foot side dimensional setback District.
L. Daley: clarification; it J. Plourde recognized thi	is 4 feet from the side setback.
J. Dargie made a motion	to approve Case #2021-15 and R. Costantino seconded the motion.
J. Plourde: A motion has Thornton yes; J. Dargie y	been made to approve Case #2021-15 . Those in favor: R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; M. yes; chair votes yes.
	criteria for the special exception request had been satisfied and the application approved. The t can be filed with the Zoning Board.
3. MEETING MINUTE	<u> </u>
J. Plourde: unable to app	prove minutes at this meeting since they still need to be reviewed by the board.
4. OTHER BUSINESS	
J. Plourde asked for a vo M. Thornton yes; J. Plou	te for R. Costantino being Vice Chair. R. Costantino accepted. Vote: J. Dargie yes; K. Lagro arde yes.
cases; workshops with no	ssions in regards to work sessions, joint meetings, meetings with the lawyers, as well as voting minutes since they are not needed at workshops. Discussion also included training especiall could come up and being able to handle the cases, i.e. being able to think on the spur of the meition if necessary.
Motion to Adjourn	
Chair Plourde asked if th Members were in agreen	nere was anything else. J. Dargie motioned for adjournment and R. Costantino seconded. All I ment. Meeting adjourned.
Motion to Approve:	
Seconded:	
Signed	
Date:	