

3  
4 **Members Present:**

5 Doug Knott, Chairman  
6 Tim Finan, Vice Chairman  
7 Janet Langdell, Member  
8 Pete Basiliere, Alternate Member  
9 Susan Robinson, Member  
10 Paul Amato, Member

**Staff:**

Kellie Walsh, Planner  
Darlene Bouffard, Recording Secretary

11  
12 **EXCUSED:**

13 Laura Dudziak, Selectmen’s Rep  
14

15  
16  
17 **1. Call to order:**

18 D. Knott called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. D. Knott read the Telephone Conference Preamble as  
19 follows:  
20

21 ***MEETING PREAMBLE DURING COVID-19 EMERGENCY***

22 *Good Evening, as Chairman of the Planning Board, I am declaring that an emergency exists and I am*  
23 *invoking the provisions of RSA 91-A:2, III (b). Federal, State, and Local officials have determined that*  
24 *gatherings of 10 or more people pose a substantial risk to our community in its continuing efforts to*  
25 *combat the spear of COVID-19. In concurring with their determination, I also find that this meeting is*  
26 *imperative to the continued operation of Town government and services, which are vital to public safety*  
27 *and confidence during this emergency. As such, this meeting will be conducted without a quorum of this*  
28 *body physically present in the same location.*

29 *At this time, I also welcome members of the public accessing this meeting remotely. Even though this*  
30 *meeting is being conducted in a unique manner under unusual circumstances, the usual rules of conduct*  
31 *and decorum apply.*

32 *Public comments will be limited to three minutes per person. Any person found to be disrupting this*  
33 *meeting will be asked to cease the disruption. If the disruptive behavior continues thereafter, that*  
34 *person will be removed from this meeting.*

35 *Please note that all votes that are taken during this meeting must and will be done by Roll Call Vote.*  
36 *Let’s start the meeting by taking a Roll Call attendance. When each member states their name, also*  
37 *please state whether there is anyone in the room with you during this meeting, which is required under*  
38 *the Right-to-Know Law.*

39  
40 Members and staff were introduced. D. Knott explained there are public hearings tonight followed by  
41 Planning Board discussions.  
42

43 **2. Public Hearing(s):**  
44

- 45 a. **Frederick & Celia Lorden Trust (owner) and Ponemah Hills, LLC** – Review for acceptance and  
46 consideration of final approval for a major site plan to construct a 6,447 square foot, 9-unit multifamily  
47 residential building with a nine stall carport along with associated site improvements. The parcel is  
48 located at 0 Ponemah Hill Road in the Residence B district. Tax Map 43, Lot 61. Postponed March 17  
49 and April 7, 2020.

50  
51 Chad Branon, Fieldstone Engineering, indicated he represents the owner (Lorden Trust) and applicant  
52 (Ponemah Hills LLC). This plan was before the Planning Board previously on February 18, 2020 at  
53 which the applicant received many good comments and was followed by a site walk on March 3, 2020  
54 during which many questions raised by the Planning Board were answered. Since the site walk a revised  
55 package has been submitted in which the comments were taken into consideration. The Fire Department

56 comments were addressed including adding a lane on the south side of the building. The stormwater  
57 concerns were taken into account and storm water has now been spread out along the northeast property  
58 line. The staff and Planning Board comments have been addressed with reducing the amount of  
59 impervious surface. The pavement in front of the carports has been narrowed. Other revisions made  
60 regarding landscaping have been added including along the northeast perimeter. Chad indicated the  
61 abutter to the northeast specifically requested arborvitae along the property line. The applicant has  
62 worked with DPW on the sight distance which was one of the concerns at the site walk. The applicant  
63 believes all the comments raised have been addressed.

64  
65 The Fire Department sent an e-mail sign off and water utilities has also signed off. The Milford  
66 Conservation Commission (MCC) provided comments, to which C. Branon offered to respond. C.  
67 Branon said he received comments from MCC on March 13 which requested that a Juniper species be  
68 used for landscaping instead of arborvitae which were requested by the abutter. C. Branon said he does  
69 not plan to change that landscaping which was at the abutter's request. At the last Planning Board  
70 meeting there was some concern about having lighting around the entire building but after discussions  
71 since then, the Fire Department has accepted the plan design.

72  
73 D. Knott asked if anyone has asked the abutter if Juniper could be used in lieu of arborvitae. C. Branon  
74 indicated that he requested of MCC that the arborvitae be allowed since that was the request of the  
75 abutter. D. Knott asked if the MCC has concern with the arborvitae? C. Branon said that is what the  
76 abutter requested. T. Finan remembers that discussion at the February meeting when the abutter  
77 requested arborvitae along the property line. Janet Langdell said that abutter has experience with  
78 arborvitae and that must be why they are requesting it, it grows fast and provides good cover, but could  
79 that landscaping be supplemented with a Juniper species? D. Knott just wants to make sure there is not  
80 any problem with changing it to Juniper as a supplement? J. Langdell agreed because it would add some  
81 diversity to the barrier which is what the main concern is. D. Knott noted that the Juniper is a more slow  
82 growing blend than arborvitae and it could have a higher cost. C. Branon shared the letter from MCC  
83 with the abutter, but has no knowledge that they reached out to the abutter. P. Basiliere agrees that the  
84 MCC should reach out to the abutter to find out if they would be okay with that change. D. Knott said  
85 that is not a deal breaker, he just wanted to know if MCC has talked with the abutter. D. Knott requested  
86 that Pages 2 and 3 notes be addressed by the applicant. C. Branon indicated that they have addressed all  
87 of the comments from staff and talked with Kellie Walsh to address all the comments.

88  
89 P. Basiliere asked how the comments were addressed? The comments were reviewed by C. Branon.  
90 Comment #1: the sewer and driveway permit were added in a note that addresses both, note 16 addresses  
91 water & sewer, and the driveway permit is also in a note. Comment #2 is to decrease the amount of  
92 impervious surface – the applicant has narrowed the drive from 24' to 22' and the carport entry was  
93 changed from 30' to 24' which significantly reduces the amount of impervious surface. T. Finan asked if  
94 24' is adequate for backing out and turning around. C. Branon explained that 22' is adequate to back out.  
95 P. Basiliere asked about delivery trucks having enough room to maneuver. C. Branon said the 16' lane on  
96 the south side of the building could be used for any deliveries to the site. A box truck would be able to  
97 turn around and now with the addition of the 16' lane, that will allow larger trucks. P. Basiliere asked if  
98 this plan should be making accommodations to allow the larger trucks to turn around? C. Branon said  
99 with the reduction of impervious surface, that was a trade-off.

100  
101 Town Planner Kellie Walsh said the 16' section was a request from the Fire and Ambulance Departments  
102 and it meets that request and uses the least amount of impervious surfaces to allow all the emergency  
103 vehicles access. P. Basiliere understands that but there will be delivery trucks and he wants to make sure  
104 they do not have to back out. C. Branon said they worked with Rick Riendeau, DPW Director, regarding  
105 sight distance which is required to be 200' for residential but 300' was used since this is multifamily so  
106 those details are addressed.

107  
108 P. Amato asked if all the vegetation in the front of this site will be removed? C. Branon responded that  
109 they are not planning to remove all the vegetation but will need to clear an area to get utilities in, the front  
110 of the site will be augmented with landscaping. The stormwater requires the applicant to capture run off  
111 from the front of the site and have diversion swales. There will not be much left on the front of the site

112 but the applicant does plan to augment the front of the site with trees. J. Langdell asked about ambulance  
113 access to the rear of the building, was a copy of the revised plans provided to the Ambulance Department  
114 for review? K. Walsh said yes she did provide those for review and there were no issues. P. Amato asked  
115 if there will be impact fees in the notes? J. Langdell said they are in there for Library (Note 19, sheet 3)  
116 but there needs to also be impact fees for police. This will be a condition of any approval. Hearing no  
117 further comments from the Planning Board, D. Knott opened the hearing to the public. D. Knott asked  
118 for comments or questions from the public, asking that abutters go first and please state your name and  
119 address followed by other public comments. K. Walsh said there are no people in the waiting room for  
120 questions or comments. D. Knott closed the public hearing.

121  
122 D. Knott asked for a motion. P. Amato moved to approve the plan with the conditions mentioned and  
123 noted in the staff memo. J. Langdell seconded. D. Knott took a vote: Pete Basiliere, yes; T. Finan, yes;  
124 P. Amato, yes; J. Langdell, yet, D. Knott yes. Motion passed unanimously.

- 125  
126 b. **Scenic Road Public Hearing** – In accordance with NH RSA 232:158, the Milford Planning Board will  
127 hold a Public Hearing for Eversource for tree trimming and removal, as part of routine maintenance, on  
128 the following scenic roads: Joslin Road, Jennison Road, Osgood Road, Ball Hill Road, Emerson Road,  
129 Federal Hill Road, Foster Road, Mason Road, Mile Slip Road, North River Road, Ponemah Hill Road,  
130 Ruonala Road, Savage Road, Young Road – *Postponed from March 17 and April 7, 2020.*

131  
132 The applicant, Eversource representative Keith Corey, was in attendance and noted that Rick Sullivan is  
133 also on the Zoom call. K. Corey explained that this application is for the trimming and removal of limbs  
134 and brush that are 8 feet from the conductors or 10 feet below and 15 feet above the lines to improve the  
135 reliability to Eversource customers. This maintenance is typically done every four years. The brush,  
136 once removed, is chipped and blown into the woods, or it can be used as mulch. Prior to any removal, a  
137 postcard is mailed to all the abutters where there will be trimming. The 2021 maintenance program for  
138 some of these roads will be done at that time.

139  
140 T. Finan asked if this maintenance is done to the Eversource standards that are comparable to the PUC  
141 standards done every five year cycle? J. Langdell asked if the trees are flagged? K. Corey responded that  
142 they are marked for the cycle with blue tags. P. Amato stated if a tree is on private property, it cannot be  
143 removed. K. Corey responded if a tree is in the right of way, the abutter would be notified that the tree is  
144 dangerous and ask if the abutter is okay with it being removed. Hearing no further comments from the  
145 Board, D. Knott opened the public hearing. K. Walsh indicated there were no people waiting in the  
146 waiting room to speak. D. Knott closed the public hearing.

147  
148 D. Knott said the maintenance plan is relatively straightforward and read from the standard, noting if a  
149 stone wall gets disturbed, Eversource will be expected to repair it. D. Knott asked if there is any language  
150 about stone wall damage? K. Corey said they typically will hear about any damage to stone walls from  
151 the abutter or through the town. D. Knott asked if that is the policy of Eversource? K. Corey said that is  
152 what he does, if he notices something, it is brought to the town's attention. K. Walsh indicated in the  
153 State Statue, it does call out the stone walls needing to be preserved. Rick Sullivan, Eversource Arborist,  
154 said this is the enhanced tree trim program which requires higher cutting in trees to get rid of more than  
155 just standard trimming. Consent of the abutter property owners must be received prior to any work being  
156 done. This enhanced trimming program is along Osgood, Mason, and Melendy Road for which Asplundh  
157 is doing that work.

158  
159 J. Langdell asked what is the outcome of the enhanced trimming once it is complete, what has been done  
160 locally that is comparable? Rick Sullivan responded that along Route 13N there was trimming that was a  
161 bit more than what will be done here. D. Knott said the proposed trimming is to keep consistent power  
162 service to their customers. P. Basiliere asked if this type of cut is similar to what was trimmed along  
163 Amherst Street going into Amherst? Rick Sullivan answered yes, that is comparable to what will be done  
164 with the enhanced program in Milford.

165  
166 All members recommended moving forward with this scenic road tree trimming.  
167

- 168 c. **Savage Farm LLC (owner / applicant)** Review for acceptance and consideration of final approval for a  
169 major site plan to maintain an existing two-family building in its current use and configuration, renovate  
170 and re-purpose the barn into retail use for an antiques center and to develop the rear of the property into a  
171 natural materials processing/storage area with space for equipment storage and maintenance structure  
172 associate with materials processing. The parcel is located at 475 Elm Street in the Integrated  
173 Commercial-Industrial District, West Elm Overlay District, Groundwater Protection and Floodplain  
174 Management Districts. Tax Map 7, Lot 7.

175  
176 J. Langdell moved to accept the application for review. P. Amato seconded. D. Knott took a vote: J.  
177 Langdell, yes; T. Finan, yes, P. Basiliere, yes; P. Amato, yes; D. Knott, yes. Motion passed unanimously.  
178 P. Amato moved no potential regional impact. J. Langdell seconded. D. Knott took a vote: J. Langdell,  
179 yes; T. Finan, yes, P. Basiliere, yes; P. Amato, yes; D. Knott, yes. Motion passed unanimously  
180

181 Doug Brodeur, Meridian representing Savage Farms LLC, was present as well as Steve Desmarais, Dave  
182 Hanlon and Scott Tiedemann. Doug explained this is the property across from the access of Old Wilton  
183 Road entrance on the north side of Route 101A. The developer also wants to maintain the original  
184 character of the property, there are stone walls and granite stones found that will be maintained. The rear  
185 of the property is surrounded by buildings and will not be visible from Route 101A. There are two curb  
186 cuts for this property and the sight distance is okay, the residence will use its existing curb cut. The soils  
187 are well-drained and there will be infiltration of all storm water for the 50 year storm. KV Partners had  
188 some comments on the drainage and the comments are able to be addressed so they will be tied into a  
189 catch basin. Landscaping currently meets the intent of the West Milford Overlay.  
190

191 Staff comments were reviewed: two monitoring wells are monitored by the Federal government for the  
192 OK Tool Superfund site, there was no easement for it but Steve Desmarais said he is okay with that  
193 monitoring being done. K. Walsh asked what are the monitoring wells are for and how long will they be  
194 used? S. Desmarais responded that he has no idea but he was told they will continue to be monitored for  
195 100 years as part of the OK Tool contamination site. J. Langdell said if the monitoring wells are in  
196 question, who has the responsibility to find out that information from the EPA? J. Langdell asked that K.  
197 Walsh address that. Steve Desmarais indicated he will not be removing the monitoring wells, the EPA  
198 can still come to test them. P. Amato said there should be a note on the plan that states that they can  
199 continue to test the wells, that the current owner will permit that activity so it would be helpful to have  
200 that on the plan for future owners.  
201

202 D. Knott asked about the farm access easement, is that still used and the dumpster pad. S. Desmarais  
203 asked if a cement pad is required for the dumpster on a gravel road? Noting that they can move that  
204 dumpster pad if needed. D. Knott indicated that trucks will be parked behind the building. K. Walsh  
205 said she is trying to avoid parking problems by having a delineated area for parking. S. Desmarais said he  
206 can do that. D. Knott asked about the striping of the exit out of the property, since there will be  
207 residential and commercial traffic exiting through the same curb cut.  
208

209 P. Basiliere asked if there are any other businesses in Milford that mix residential and commercial traffic?  
210 D. Knott said it is not all day that the trucks come in and out, but at certain times there could be trucks  
211 and cars coming and going. S. Desmarais said the back building is for equipment and product and the  
212 front is for the tenants. J. Langdell knows of one business that also has a residence using the same  
213 driveway – Briand Auto Repair has a residence on the back portion of the lot. J. Langdell said the  
214 business in the rear of this proposal will it be Monday – Friday or will it be weekends as well? S.  
215 Desmarais said there might be some business on Saturdays but not Sundays.  
216

217 S. Desmarais did not do a landscaping plan, since the bushes and trees are just budding now. Once things  
218 bloom he can have a landscaper come out, but he only knows there are lilacs there now. The landscape he  
219 wants to have would be similar to what has been there for many years, he wants it to look like an old barn  
220 and farm house. He will put in anything the Planning Board would like except he does not want any  
221 topiary or manicured plantings. D. Knott referenced the ordinance paragraph 6.08.8C2 for an alternative  
222 landscaping plan – the use of the existing landscape in lieu of a formal landscaping plan. P. Amato asked  
223 if either of the driveways will be removed and if so, will that be loamed and seeded? J. Langdell asked if

224 lilac could be added in that same area. S. Desmarais is thinking of adding lilacs along the foundation and  
225 along a split rail fence he will be adding. Over time, he will add lilacs and forsythia but he wants a  
226 traditional old farm look. D. Knott said landscaping is not only the plantings it is also the hardscaping  
227 like stone walls and stairs. J. Langdell said we are so used to having new properties along Elm Street but  
228 this is a long standing property and she likes what is being talked about. T. Finan agrees with the idea of  
229 natural landscaping but he does not know what is there now. Pete Basiliere agrees it looks better than the  
230 surrounding properties but by adding another sheet to the plan to identify what is there now would be  
231 helpful. It is an improvement and he likes it but he also thinks the Planning Board should have a  
232 landscaping plan.

233  
234 D. Knott asked if a narrative could be adopted as part of the plan? The applicant is seeking a waiver from  
235 the landscaping requirement and the Planning Board needs to decide if it accepts the waiver, but he is  
236 proposing to document what the landscaping intent is for any future owners. Steve Desmarais agreed that  
237 he could do a narrative by June 1, when plantings can be identified. He does not want to waste time right  
238 now or hold up this project for landscaping. Once everything flowers, and if D. Knott could assist him  
239 identify the growth, a narrative can be submitted. D. Knott said he would be happy to assist Steve to  
240 deliver a plan for the file. J. Langdell asked if a conditional approval could allow the existing landscaping? K. Walsh  
241 recommends a conditional approval is conditioned upon drainage, landscape and staff comments being  
242 addressed. Doug Brodeur indicated most of those drainage comments deal with the elevation and they  
243 will be dealt with. For the two structures that go into the stone (dry well), we will make the catch basin to  
244 get pre-treatment.

245  
246 P. Amato asked if K. Walsh has any issues with the drainage being met? K. Walsh said the conditional  
247 approval should reference the KV Partners comments and landscaping requests so that when the plan set  
248 is sent, it comes with a written response. K. Walsh said it is a Planning Board decision if the will of the  
249 Board is to grant a conditional approval. P. Amato said the Board needs to know that it is getting  
250 resolved, as long as it can be resolved by June 1, and included as a condition of approval, or they must  
251 come back to the Planning Board. Steve Desmarais does not want K. Walsh to feel pressured but he gave  
252 this plan to Meridian in October 2019, and he told his tenant they could be in by June. There is a lot at  
253 stake and everyone knows Steve will do what is needed. P. Amato asked about the shed across the road  
254 that has garage sales on the weekend – who owns that? Steve responded that the parcel was given to  
255 someone by Mr. Savage, it was not given to Steve. T. Finan is ready to move forward.

256  
257 Seeing no further comments or questions from the Board, D. Knott opened the hearing to the public. D.  
258 Knott asked for comments or questions from the public, asking that abutters go first and please state your  
259 name and address followed by other public comments. K. Walsh said there are no people in the waiting  
260 room for questions or comments. D. Knott closed the public hearing.

261  
262 P. Amato asked about the building on the back of the parcel, is it wood? Steve said it will not be seen  
263 from the road but it will look good and yes it is wood. P. Amato moved to grant conditional approval  
264 with the landscaping plan narrative submitted by June 1, 2020 and by having all 8 drainage comments  
265 made by KV Partners addressed and given to staff for approval as soon as possible. J. Langdell seconded.  
266 D. Knott took a vote: Pete Basiliere, yes; T. Finan, yes; P. Amato, yes; J. Langdell, yet, D. Knott yes.  
267 Motion passed unanimously.

268  
269 Pete Basiliere indicated that Susan Robinson has arrived. D. Knott asked that Susan take her seat as  
270 member for the next application and that Pete Basiliere (alternate) step down and can participate in the  
271 discussion for the next application but not in the vote.

272  
273  
274 d. **Hannah Holdings, LLC (owner) and Thomas Egan (applicant)** – review for acceptance and  
275 consideration of final approval for a minor site plan for a 192 square foot building addition for the  
276 purpose of a gift shop. The parcel is located at 786 Elm Street in the Industrial District and West Elm  
277 Overlay District. Tax Map 12, Lot 15.

278

279 S. Robinson moved to accept the plan as complete. J. Langdell seconded. D. Knott took a vote: Susan  
280 Robinson, yes; T. Finan, yes; P. Amato, yes; J. Langdell, yet, D. Knott yes. Motion passed unanimously.  
281

282 Tom Egan, applicant, explained he would like to add to the current business at 786 Elm Street a hot dog  
283 and ice cream stand to add a gift shop which would work in coordination with the current use. This  
284 business operates seasonally; the current building provides hot dogs and ice cream out of the same  
285 building. The existing ice cream stand is currently used for storage and the ice cream and hot dogs are  
286 served out of the hot dog building. It was pointed out by a Board member that the building currently used  
287 for storage could at some point be turned into an ice cream stand which could require additional parking.  
288 K. Walsh said there are approximately 25 spaces on site; the Board will need to determine if that is  
289 sufficient parking for the three seasonal businesses.  
290

291 J. Langdell asked if the picnic tables are in the parking area? Tom Egan said they are not, they are  
292 outside of the parking area. S. Robinson asked what type of shop will it be? Tom Egan responded that it  
293 will sell NH themed old type gifts. Pete Basiliere suggested if the business takes off, is there adequate  
294 parking available? Tom Egan said they own all the way back to the train tracks. Pete Basiliere asked out  
295 that can be depicted on the plan? T. Finan asked how many spaces are required for the size of the  
296 buildings? K. Walsh said the parking regulations for a retail use (gift shop) are calculated by square  
297 footage of the building. The size of the structures are small and are of varying uses, placing the parking  
298 calculation in the "other" category of the off-street parking table referenced in the Milford Development  
299 Regulations; it defers to staff and Planning Board to make the decision on how much parking is needed to  
300 meet the needs of the site.  
301

302 T. Finan asked what is the busiest this gets? Tom Egan said about 12 cars is the busiest. D. Knott asked  
303 how many people could fit in the gift shop at one time? Tom answered 2 or 3. D. Knott said if there are  
304 five cars for hot dogs and five cars for ice cream plus two employees, we are not even at 20 cars max.  
305 Pete Basiliere said if the business grows should the site plan depict where future parking might be? D.  
306 Knott does not see a precedent for that, we cannot predict the future growth of a business. Tom Egan said  
307 if it does take off, we will have to expand the parking lot and we will be back before the Planning Board  
308 for that. P. Amato said they do have the space to expand the parking and could put an area for "future  
309 parking" on the plan. Tom Egan said he agrees with that. J. Langdell wants to make sure the plan is  
310 dated.  
311

312 There were no further comments or questions from the Board. D. Knott opened the hearing to the public.  
313 D. Knott asked for comments or questions from the public, asking that abutters go first and please state  
314 your name and address followed by other public comments. K. Walsh said there are no people in the  
315 waiting room for questions or comments. D. Knott closed the public hearing.  
316

317 P. Amato moved to approve this plan with the recommendation to add future parking on the plan with the  
318 current date. J. Langdell seconded. D. Knott took a vote: Susan Robinson, yes; T. Finan, yes; P. Amato,  
319 yes; J. Langdell, yet, D. Knott yes. Motion passed unanimously.  
320

321 J. Langdell asked if there are any people in the waiting room and can they hear the discussions? K. Walsh  
322 said people in the waiting room cannot hear or participate in discussions; however throughout the entirety  
323 of the meeting no one has been left in the waiting room. All participants were allowed into the meeting  
324 and are automatically muted until it is their turn to present and all are unmuted when the public hearing  
325 portion of the meeting opens. Nancy Wojtas, 222 Ponemah Hill Road, came into the meeting late and  
326 was watching to find out the outcome of the first application. K. Walsh explained the application was  
327 conditionally approved. The Board stated the meeting can be watched in full from the Town website.  
328

### 3. Minutes -

330 J. Langdell moved to approve the minutes of February 18, 2020 as presented. S. Robinson seconded. D.  
331 Knott took a vote: Susan Robinson, yes; T. Finan, yes; P. Amato, abstained; J. Langdell, yes, D. Knott  
332 yes. Motion passed.  
333

334 T. Finan moved to approve the minutes of March 3, 2020 as presented. S. Robinson seconded. D. Knott  
335 took a vote: Susan Robinson, yes; T. Finan, yes; P. Amato, yes; J. Langdell, abstained; Pete Basiliere,  
336 yes (voting for J. Langdell); D. Knott yes. Motion passed.

337  
338 J. Langdell moved to approve the minutes of March 17, 2020 as presented. S. Robinson seconded. D.  
339 Knott took a vote: Susan Robinson, yes; T. Finan, yes; P. Amato, abstained; J. Langdell, yes; D. Knott  
340 yes. Motion passed.

341  
342 4. **Discussion / possible action regarding other items of concern-** K. Walsh indicated that the Board needs to  
343 take a vote for Chair and Vice Chair 2020/2021. P. Amato moved to nominate D. Knott as Chairman. T.  
344 Finan seconded. D. Knott said he would accept. D. Knott took a vote: Susan Robinson, yes; T. Finan, yes;  
345 P. Amato, yes; J. Langdell, yes; D. Knott yes. Motion passed.

346  
347 D. Knott moved to nominate T. Finan as Vice Chairman. S. Robinson seconded. T. Finan accepted the  
348 nomination. D. Knott took a vote: Susan Robinson, yes; T. Finan, yes; P. Amato, yes; J. Langdell, yes;  
349 D. Knott yes. Motion passed.

350  
351 There may be a joint Planning Board / ZBA meeting on the work session date of May 5, 2020 at 6:30.  
352 This is to discuss the solar project which is affected by both Boards.

353  
354 5. **Adjournment.** The meeting was adjourned at 8:45 p.m. on a motion made by J. Langdell and  
355 seconded by T. Finan. D. Knott took a vote: Susan Robinson, yes; T. Finan, yes; P. Amato, yes; J.  
356 Langdell, yes; D. Knott yes. Motion passed.

357  
358  
359 \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
360  
361 Signature of the Chairperson/Vice-Chairperson:

362  
363  
364 **MINUTES OF THE 4/21/2020 MEETING WERE APPROVED \_\_\_\_\_**