

1 MILFORD PLANNING BOARD WORK SESSION MINUTES ~ **DRAFT**

2 JUNE 7, 2022 Board of Selectmen Meeting Room, 6:30 PM

3
4 **Members Present:**

5 Doug Knott, Chairman
6 Tim Finan, Selectmen's Rep
7 Pete Basiliere, Member
8 Elaine Cohen, Member
9 Paul Amato, Member
10 Janet Langdell, Vice Chairman
11 Susan Robinson, Member

Staff:

Lincoln Daley, Comm. Dev. Director

12 **Absent:**

13 Darlene Bouffard, Recording Secretary

14
15 This meeting was conducted pursuant to the State of New Hampshire Emergency Order #12 pursuant to
16 Executive Order 2020-04. As such, the meeting was conducted in person and on zoom.

17
18 **1. Call to order:** Chairman Knott called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. indicating that tonight is for a
19 Planning Board Work Session. Planning Board members and staff were introduced by D. Knott.

20
21 **2. Work Session:**

22 **a. Master Plan:** L. Daley indicated based on the last Planning Board meeting, the Board expressed
23 interest to have an NRPC representative here with an outline of the Master Plan process. Camilla
24 Patteson is here tonight on behalf of NRPC to present that information. C. Patteson was in Milford on
25 May 3 for the initial discussion and public outreach was desired at that time to identify opportunities and
26 educate the public on the benefit of a Master Plan. C. Patteson looked at some local Master Plans and
27 suggested the Board also take a look. J. Langdell indicated there were several done by the same
28 consulting company with a similar structure. J. Langdell said the Londonderry Master Plan was the first
29 one done by that company in 2014 and then Bedford and Manchester that seemed to have the same
30 structure and similar phraseology but over the six years they have blossomed and changed their style.
31 Some were a little overwhelming with bells and whistles but you can get a good sense content-wise. C.
32 Patteson agreed and said it is good to know what Milford likes and doesn't like; to identify the scope of
33 work in the Milford Master Plan. She also can send a link to the State, which also has some good
34 information. There are three things we are trying to achieve: 1: generate outreach; 2: educate the public;
35 3: garner public support for the Master Plan.

36
37 A survey that also has public input is another idea; Milford needs to think about what it wants. There is
38 the ability to have a public presentation to collect all the questions and responses for a certain topic and it
39 is also a way to collect contact information. J. Langdell asked what happens to that information once the
40 project is complete? C. Patteson was not sure of that and needs to check. J. Langdell said we could add
41 that topic on the town website; the survey is for all on line people but there are people in the community
42 that are not comfortable with that. C. Patteson said yes, we could look at a simple survey as well but it
43 has been found that the electronic surveys are more successful and the paper survey would then need to be
44 added into the database and that is where the time comes in, along with the physical printing and
45 distribution of the survey. L. Daley said the town could come up with a paper version of the on-line
46 survey and that could be distributed by the town.

47
48 P. Basiliere asked what deliverables the town would receive? C. Patteson said NRPC would look at the
49 data and provide a narrative back to the town. How many people do we want to respond to a survey? J.
50 Langdell said you can also get the raw data from the on-line survey. C. Patteson said the on-line survey
51 does not put out that information in a report. E. Cohen asked if Camilla would be the one to prepare the
52 survey? J. Langdell said NRPC would work with the Planning Board on that. C. Patteson said it has
53 more value coming from the Planning Board than coming from NRPC. L. Daley suggested having a list
54 of deliverables for the cost estimate. C. Patteson can do that, tonight she is providing a menu of services,
55 if the Planning Board wants something more specific, that should be identified. L. Daley said tonight is
56 just setting the stage for topics for the Master Plan update. C. Patteson said NRPC could run a Charette
57 and from that the topics people want to see in the Master Plan could get identified, either the same or

58 different topics from the original Master Plan. J. Langdell asked if that Summary Report would be like
59 from the Charette in the past? C. Patteson said it would. P. Basiliere is looking for what the end result
60 will be. C. Patteson said that would be in the scope of work with NRPC.
61

62 E. Cohen asked at what point can the Planning Board start assigning people to committee? C. Patteson
63 said right now the Board is trying to generate support and educate people so that when you look for
64 funding people understand what the benefit of a Master Plan is. The Planning Board wants to set up a
65 Steering Committee at this point. D. Knott asked if these topics are pretty standard? C. Patteson said yes
66 and you might want to do some of them and have NRPC others. What Camilla has found is that one
67 person should not take on something alone like a Facebook page. It is important to put everything in one
68 place for people to share ideas and provide input. A marketing flyer could be developed to share the word
69 on what the Planning Board is doing to let people know; it could be a website or a QR Code to share that
70 information. E. Cohen said she could take care of that piece. C. Patteson said you need to consider
71 different ages and different platforms that will reach them.
72

73 D. Knott asked if we have money in the budget for this? L. Daley answered we do have money in the
74 budget for this process. D. Knott thinks this looks kind of expensive. L. Daley agrees noting the average
75 price per chapter for a consultant to do is about \$10-12,000. P. Amato said this just gets us a road map.
76 J. Langdell said this will help the Planning Board to do the list more streamlined. C. Patteson added that
77 if there are other items you have in mind to let her know. D. Knott said we need to decide what we want
78 in this updated of the Master Plan, we have not talked about that. J. Langdell said that is why she brought
79 up that point. D. Knott asked what does Exeter's Master Plan do, does it provide more information? J.
80 Langdell if you look at their website it looks like it is actually structured so they can track it periodically.
81

82 D. Knott asked if that is what Milford wants to do? J. Langdell likes that idea and we can make changes
83 easier. E. Cohen read all the Master Plans and it is easier to track where the town stands. C. Patteson
84 asked E. Cohen if she read them all, and which one did she prefer? E. Cohen really likes the Bedford
85 Master Plan and also Manchester but that one was all over the road and too much for a small town. E.
86 Cohen really likes the Mission Statement in Manchester and found some of the Mission Statements very
87 clever. They also make it clear that the ideas are from the townspeople. J. Langdell has also read them
88 and likes that in the beginning it is explained what a Master Plan is, how it is used and it was not just
89 using the language from the RSA. T. Finan said the Master Plan is also a marketing tool for the town, the
90 one Milford has now is just a document on the website, it is just something to read. This could be made
91 so it is something residents can enjoy reading when visiting Town Hall.
92

93 C. Patteson mentioned how the Master Plan is a marketing tool for the town, the old school Master Plans
94 are mostly boilerplate with no pictures and lots of words. It might be looked at as something you read so
95 why go through it, but Milford seems to like the Exeter Master Plan because it is more modern. J.
96 Langdell said it is the way the information is structured, not just the aesthetics. P. Basiliere wants to see
97 concrete items that have actionable items that the Board of Selectmen and the voters can act on. T. Finan
98 said the current Master Plan does have action items and it has been updated yearly. J. Langdell said this
99 document is looking out 15-20 years so we do not want to get into too much detail. P. Basiliere would
100 still like to have near term goals identified. C. Patteson said Milford can have near term and long term
101 goals called out; some goals might also be multi-year. C. Patteson added that the lead department(s)
102 should be in there as well so they can take ownership of the goals. P. Amato indicated yes, in looking
103 back, we thought things would be a good idea 20 years ago and we are still trying to get them done like
104 sidewalks on Nashua Street and the town just can't get there. Pete Basiliere feels any surveys are critical
105 so that they represent the entire community.
106

107 P. Amato agreed stating if we ask if people are willing to increase their taxes to have a sidewalk, people
108 will most likely say no, there needs to be a different approach. J. Langdell suggested the survey identify
109 the residents' age group and in which part of town they live. That data is part of the analysis. L. Daley
110 said along Nashua Street there are over 700 residential units, so going door to door in that area might be a
111 great idea and it may not have been done previously. P. Basiliere asked where else would the town get
112 input for the two large upcoming projects such as schools and water utilities, how can we get
113 representation for those? C. Patteson said the Schools should be in the Master Plan so what are you

114 trying to get out to the schools and how can you collaborated with them? L. Daley indicated if the school
115 representative reviews the school Master Plan to identify and evaluate the needs, it would be found their
116 priorities of the schools and their time tables with capital improvements may have changed and that has
117 an impact on the town residents and the taxes. J. Langdell said nothing states the Planning Board cannot
118 invite the school and water utilities to be a part of this process. If we are trying to do an overall vision for
119 the Town of Milford, all the players need to be at the table and be a part of the conversation. If they
120 choose not to be a part of the conversation, that is a whole other issue. We need to have a sense of their
121 willingness.

122
123 C. Patteson suggested having them at the Initial Civic Group and have two separate meetings. One with
124 the School and another one with Water Utilities; things can start with a work meeting to get the Steering
125 Committee identified; this would be a great place to start. L. Daley indicated the Board of Selectmen and
126 the Water Commissioners need to meet to allocate those costs for the larger projects that should occur
127 before the budget cycle for this year because there are a few large ticket items on the next 2-3 years. L.
128 Daley said the Water Commissioners and Water Utility Director are looking at alternative ways of
129 funding these large ticket items. D. Knott asked what are the other funding means? L. Daley explained it
130 could be through a grant or Federal money. P. Basiliere stated that is key because the capacity of water
131 and sewer dictates how much the town can do.

132
133 S. Robinson asked L. Daley if the wells that were taken offline are expected to ever be used again? P.
134 Amato indicated the Savage Well will never be used but he is not sure about Medlyn and Keyes Wells. L.
135 Daley also said the Water Commissioners are continuing to look for alternative water sources and he is
136 unsure if those previous wells will ever be on line again. J. Langdell said the Savage Well cannot be on
137 line ever, that one is done. L. Daley said he is not sure on the Keyes well but the Fletcher Superfund site
138 is out forever. C. Patteson stated the Planning Board should craft some questions in advance of a meeting
139 and send them out so members are ready to answer questions which will be a more productive meeting.
140 The town has to think about how many meetings they want to have with each of these groups. You might
141 put out the questions and be able to have one really productive meeting and that might be enough, then
142 schedule a follow up if necessary.

143
144 P. Basiliere said the Board has talked a lot about the residents, there are also business people in town,
145 where would we get input from those businesses? J. Langdell suggested when looking at water and
146 sewer, is this an opportunity to re-ignite business people and get them to step up. C. Patteson said that
147 Milford has a pretty robust business community and the business people should be included in this
148 process, that is key to be able to have the infrastructure. J. Langdell said we have talked about a multi-
149 facet approach to reach people and that includes businesses. D. Knott stated this Master Plan is a massive
150 project. C. Patteson responded that the Planning Board will not do the whole update, it is just an update,
151 it is not a Full Scale Master Plan. J. Langdell said the current Master Plan has some good content and we
152 are just bringing it up to date. P. Amato said we could hire someone to do the whole thing right now. J.
153 Langdell responded that the Planning Board will be in a better position by starting with some NRPC input
154 and assistance. P. Amato said there are only two ways to get that into a final project – by using staff or by
155 hiring someone to do it. It may cost \$100,000 for this update.

156
157 C. Patteson said many towns work on one or two chapters a year. E. Cohen thinks what Camilla has
158 proposed is a good start. C. Patteson said this would get you an outline for the document. L. Daley said
159 we need to work to provide a list of deliverables for each step. P. Amato said we need to meet with the
160 Board of Selectmen, Water Utilities Commissioners and School Board as well as the business
161 community. C. Patteson said it would be helpful to know what the Planning Board is thinking in terms of
162 deliverables and data. P. Basiliere figures the data analysis was built into the price. He would like to see
163 what was delivered in the past. J. Langdell suggested reaching out to the Wilton Planning Board and
164 Board of Selectmen since they've recently updated the Master Plan. J. Langdell also suggested using
165 census data which has started to flow. The demographics should be considered part of the Town NRPC
166 dues in its contract. E. Cohen asked what do we have now? A lot of items that were due in 2016 may not
167 have been done. J. Langdell said we have never looked at future issues such as climate change, housing
168 costs, etc.

169

170 C. Patteson suggested having volunteers go door to door to the senior housing residents to ask questions.
 171 By doing that, some common themes might be found and that would be different from a survey for that
 172 population. P. Amato said there is a “Hope Fest” being done soon at the Boys & Girls Club that draws a
 173 different demographic concerned with Child Care costs. J. Langdell said those are the things we need to
 174 capture to gather information and issues facing the people of Milford. C. Patteson again said, the Steering
 175 Committee is key. L. Daley said there are ongoing Department of Transportation (DOT) discussions that
 176 affect Milford. C. Patteson indicated this is the opportunity to bring new projects to the DOT- long range
 177 projects. L. Daley said the Swing Bridge and Route 101 improvements are both DOT projects. The
 178 Milford Oval is another project being done through DOT.
 179

180 J. Langdell noted any long range projects would need to be Federally eligible to be done through DOT.
 181 C. Patteson agreed, indicating this is looking for projects in the FY 2025-2034 in a 10 year plan. NRPC is
 182 soliciting now through July 19 for project proposals; there is also an on-call engineer that can be used. P.
 183 Basiliere asked who should be the Department responsible for submitting anything to the DOT project
 184 list? C. Patteson said the point of contact for the town, such as L. Daley who will get input from the
 185 Board of Selectmen. L. Daley indicated Amherst Street that connects Milford to Amherst is one project
 186 currently being talked about. J. Langdell asked if we are looking at possibly receiving money for that? L.
 187 Daley is waiting to hear back on that. J. Langdell has been going to NRPC since 2005, roads, bridges and
 188 now bikes and pedestrian passages are being addressed. We are talking a lot more about pedestrian and
 189 bike transit now than in 2005, as well as the environment. L. Daley said the Planning Board should
 190 consider projects and talk again to develop a list. J. Langdell asked if a bridge from Keyes to the MCAA
 191 field could be considered? L. Daley answered yes.
 192

193 **b. Stormwater Regulations:** L. Daley explained these regulations were in response to the MS-4, the
 194 updates went through Milford Conservation Commission for comment. L. Daley is looking for support
 195 from the Planning Board for the ordinance to be brought to the BOS for adoption. The stormwater
 196 regulations fall under Planning Board jurisdiction. P. Amato asked how much more this will cost a
 197 homeowner? L. Daley said this will require analysis and review by the applicant and is in response to the
 198 MS-4 requirements. This update uses a template that other communities have adopted. P. Amato asked if
 199 developers have been talked to about this? L. Daley said this is what other communities are adopting to
 200 deal with requirements at the Federal level. P. Amato asked how does this go with an AoT? L. Daley
 201 said the AoT is for 100,000 sf of disturbance, this regulation mirrors the AoT but for only 20,000 sf of
 202 disturbance. P. Amato said the AoT process is daunting and you must have an engineer and sometimes a
 203 lawyer and it can be very expensive. J. Langdell said the Town’s current ordinance specifies the 20,000
 204 sf, it has the same parameters and the size of impact does not change. L. Daley indicated this amendment
 205 will require more work staff. P. Amato said this could add more money to each house. J. Langdell said
 206 this is a Federal mandate due to water resources; this is for MS-4 communities. L. Daley said the new
 207 permit in 2017 specifies what requirements and this is to address the regulations for those requirements.
 208

209 D. Knott asked what happens if Milford says no? L. Daley said the Town could get fined; a community
 210 recently was fined and it is a lot of money - \$100,000. P. Amato said it is difficult for this group to agree
 211 when it might not affect any of the people here, but it will affect the town. L. Daley said Water Utilities
 212 found there was a lot of nitrogen in the water, which was the higher of the requirements, this is to better
 213 manage stormwater. P. Amato asked if 20,000 sf of disturbance must be used, most people will not have
 214 an engineer at their disposal. L. Daley said this will impact the building of larger homes, but he does not
 215 see an alternative and does not see a major change from what was in the regulation before. P. Amato
 216 thinks it is crazy that it takes this many words to make this change. J. Langdell said it is not much more
 217 than was there before. P. Basiliere asked if there is any alternative? P. Amato said for a septic and well
 218 install, that will disturb that amount, but could it be 40,000 sf of disturbance. J. Langdell asked why it is ?
 219 20,000 sf? L. Daley answered this is based on comparative communities of similar size and it is the
 220 standard. D. Knott stated this is based on what other communities use, but can an owner have a higher
 221 threshold? L. Daley said the Board can determine what number to use. P. Amato said an AoT is 100,000
 222 sf cumulative over 10 years.
 223

224 L. Daley noted in the stormwater regulations there is an OOT clause that would allow a waiver for certain
 225 situations so there is an opportunity to waive the 20,000 sf requirement. S. Robinson asked if the purpose

226 of the regulation is to protect the water or to conserve the water? L. Daley said it is a little of both. D.
227 Knott asked if this applies to the municipality to minimize salt use for example? L. Daley said the town is
228 trying to comply as best it can. D. Knott indicated if a homeowner were to be asked to come in about the
229 disturbance of 20,000 sf, they are not going to know what should be done. L. Daley responded that it
230 would need to be presented to the ZBA and Planning Board with their application and since homeowners
231 do not understand the process, staff would work with them much like what is done when preparing to
232 appear before any land use board for a case and staff would work with them before it gets to the Board for
233 review. P. Amato asked what would trigger this, a Building Permit? L. Daley said it could be a building
234 permit. P. Amato said so if a Building Permit looks like it would disturb 20,000 sf would that be the
235 trigger? L. Daley said that does happen and during that initial process it would be identified that more
236 than 20,000 sf will be disturbed, so we would have them fill out a stormwater permit in addition to a
237 building permit. P. Amato asked when a resident comes in for a building permit to build a new home,
238 would they have to put up silt fence to keep it contained and would it get reviewed to see if there is an
239 alternative or the applicant would need to review the stormwater regulations? What would be required if
240 it meets that 20,000 sf disturbance? L. Daley said that would be indicated by staff that a stormwater
241 permit is needed. T. Finan asked for tonight, what is the next step? L. Daley is looking for a Planning
242 Board recommendation to bring the amended stormwater regulations to the BOS for adoption, he has
243 tentatively scheduled that for the BOS meeting June 27.
244

245 P. Amato asked if someone was paid to do this stormwater regulations update? L. Daley responded yes
246 KV Partners was hired for that. P. Amato pointed out some typographical errors that L. Daley
247 acknowledged and would have corrected, L. Daley is more concerned with the content than any minor
248 errors. T. Finan asked does this Board have to approve and then it goes before the BOS for adoption? L.
249 Daley is looking for a Planning Board recommendation to send it to the BOS for adoption, which requires
250 two public hearings. If the Planning Board is not comfortable with this, we will have to discuss it further.
251 P. Amato said if we put this in place as is with the 20,000 sf, we could just put it in at 100,000 and it will
252 be taken care of at the AoT permit. L. Daley responded that would not capture the more challenging
253 projects that have a smaller area of impact. L. Daley explained that stormwater management tries to deal
254 with erosion and the quality of water and it applies to smaller projects not just the larger ones; this is
255 trying to capture those smaller projects that may have an impact on neighboring properties and properly
256 deals with it. P. Amato asked if what has been done for the past 10 years has not been working and now
257 we have to have this in order to save us? L. Daley responded no, what this does is it gets the town in
258 compliance with the MS-4 permit which requires specificity in how the town manages stormwater in our
259 community. This ordinance is a direct result of trying to respond and comply with the Federal MS-4
260 permit. J. Langdell said this complies with the revision under the new Federal permitting; Milford has
261 been doing this, but this is in response to the revisions since 2003. L. Daley said in 2017 the MS-4 permit
262 was reissued and includes additional steps that the town has to undergo and comply.
263

264 T. Finan understands that the town has to do this because we are an MS-4 town, aside from that, is there
265 any quantitative data that Milford has a stormwater issue? L. Daley said no, Milford's issue is e-coli in
266 the system, this regulation does help to a degree with that and it creates an opportunity for stormwater
267 management between properties. Think about parking lots with heavy salt use, currently there are some
268 regulations in place but this revision directly responds to the use of salt on a property and how to best
269 manage it. So we do have a stormwater issue causing too much e-coli, said T. Finan, especially in the
270 summer in the River, it is high? P. Amato asked if that higher e-coli could be from wildlife? L. Daley
271 said the e-coli is also partly due to run off so that is what this regulation tries to do to get rid of e-coli as
272 much as possible, that is one element. The ordinance deals with the larger issue of stormwater
273 management on properties within the entire community, it is not just e-coli, it also has to do with how we
274 design our drainage systems on properties to minimize impact to abutting properties with the water
275 systems. D. Knott stated the town does not have to follow this with salt use. P. Amato asked who uses
276 the most salt in the area and commented that the town uses 100 times the salt of all homeowners put
277 together and we don't care what the town is doing, that is a problem. L. Daley said he is not implying
278 that. P. Amato said nothing about that is being done. D. Knott replied that the EPA is addressing that.
279

280 L. Daley said we are getting away from the regulations we have in front of us, the MS-4 also requires the
281 town to analyze the amount of salt used in the community, we have to track that and DPW does track that

282 salt usage as part of the MS-4 permit. There is also data on how Milford uses its catch basins and how we
 283 clean them out and how we manage other assets in our community. Tonight is just one element of a
 284 bigger compliance issue dealing with MS-4 that Milford has to deal with. In working with the new DPW
 285 Director, who is still getting acclimated with the community, he is trying to be aggressive to find other
 286 ways to treat our roadways. This is an opportunity for the town to look at what other MS-4 communities
 287 are doing and improve the overall quality of our water systems. T. Finan stated the intent is to become
 288 more and more restrictive to have a better result. P. Basiliere said we have the ability to impact what we
 289 can and that is what is before us tonight. If there is a concern about salt use, that could be brought to the
 290 BOS. P. Amato pointed out that the little guys are being called out. J. Langdell said the town is between
 291 a rock and a hard place, these conversations should have been happening years ago when we were
 292 notified that these things were coming down and we could have contacted our State representatives that
 293 these are impacting affordable housing, but we are beyond that right now.

294
 295 P. Basiliere said someone needs to make a motion to move this to the BOS or not, or amend it. J.
 296 Langdell asked if there is any specificity in the MS-4 language about the 20,000 sf? L. Daley did not
 297 recall that. In working with the coalition, 20,000 sf was the standard and with the OUT clause under item
 298 3, there can be waivers on that sf number. P. Amato moved to amend it to 40,000 sf. S. Robinson asked
 299 if any towns have challenged the MS-4 requirements? L. Daley answered yes a group of towns got
 300 together to challenge it and failed. The criteria for that challenge was for the entire permit towns had
 301 issue with. It was for a wide variety of issues. There was no second to the motion. Motion failed.
 302

303 T. Finan asked if the Planning Board concerns can be brought to the BOS meeting with the
 304 recommendation? If there is a change made to the 20,000 sf it could be made at the BOS level. L. Daley
 305 indicated this can be tweaked along the way if it is found to be too restrictive or not restrictive enough, it
 306 just needs the two hearings, not a town vote, so this can be modified along the way. T. Finan can bring
 307 the concerns of the Planning Board members about the 20,000sf with the review of the ordinance at the
 308 June 27 BOS meeting, as the first of two public hearings. Tonight, L. Daley is just looking for a
 309 recommendation from the Planning Board to present this draft to the BOS for their first public hearing of
 310 the Milford Stormwater Regulations. T. Finan moved to recommend that the draft of the Milford
 311 Stormwater Regulations be brought to the BOS for the first of two BOS public hearings. P. Basiliere
 312 seconded for discussion. *Discussion:* P. Amato said L. Daley will coordinate with T. Philbrick to get this
 313 on the June 27 BOS agenda. L. Daley will present to the BOS that the Planning Board raised some
 314 concerns. E. Cohen in favor, P. Basiliere in favor, T. Finan in favor, S. Robinson reserved her opinion
 315 (abstained); J. Langdell abstained, P. Amato and D. Knott against. Motion passed 3-2-2. L. Daley would
 316 like a positive recommendation to bring to the BOS for the draft review. D. Knott said this is just a
 317 recommendation that the Planning Board wants the BOS to hold the first hearing but has concerns.
 318

319 J. Langdell indicated she abstained on that vote because of the square footage concerns, she feels there is
 320 insufficient information and she would like further information about the 40,000sf that was put on the
 321 table, she would like to look at what other towns have used and the rationale for what they are using, or
 322 look at towns outside the coalition areas but of similar size to Milford.
 323

324 **c. CIP Appointments:** L. Daley has a list of potential interested parties for the CIP committee, 8 people
 325 were suggested on the committee. T. Finan, P. Basiliere and E. Cohen have volunteered as Planning
 326 Board members. L. Daley will work with the school superintendent for a School Board representative. J.
 327 Langdell suggested putting out an invitation to the community to make it known the CIP Committee is
 328 being formed and solicit volunteers for a non-affiliated person or a couple of people in the community;
 329 she understand that Chris Labonte is one of them but there should be two. J. Langdell would like to see
 330 other people having an opportunity to know that this is out there for volunteers. L. Daley said the first
 331 CIP Committee meeting will be in late June so at the next June meeting he will bring forth a revised list.
 332 T. Finan asked if the first meeting should get pushed out because of that? L. Daley will stay the course.
 333

334 J. Langdell suggested that we get the word out on Granite Town Media, on the Town website, on
 335 Facebook, etc. but get it out there. L. Daley noted the BOS had a discussion about the CIP process and
 336 they expressed a desire to have the CIP process done by October 1, he will do the best he can to make that
 337 date. J. Langdell said that historically it has been done in that timeframe; T. Finan added the reason for

