1 **Town of Milford** 2 **Zoning Board of Adjustment** 3 **December 17, 2020** 4 Case 2020-30 5 DANIEL ILLG 6 Special Exception 7 8 **Present:** Jason Plourde, Chair 9 Rob Costantino, Vice Chair 10 Karin Lagro 11 Lincoln Daley, Director of Community Development Darlene Bouffard, Recording Secretary 12 14 **Excused:** Wade Campbell 15 Tracy Steel

13

16

17

Joan Dargie (Alternate) Michael Thornton

Paul Dargie, BOS Representative

18 19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

Chairman Plourde welcomed everyone and declared a State of Emergency as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor's Emergency Order #12 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, the Board of Adjustment is authorized to meet electronically. This meeting is held in accordance with the applicable New Hampshire State statutes, Town of Milford ordinances, and the Zoning Board of Adjustment Rules of Procedure. He stated that there is no physical location to observe and listen contemporaneously to this meeting, which was authorized pursuant to the Governor's Emergency Order. However, in accordance with the Emergency Order, he confirmed that the Board is:

- a) Providing public access to the meeting by telephone, with additional access possibilities by video or other electronic means.
- b) Providing public notice of the necessary information for accessing the meeting.
- c) Providing a mechanism for the public to alert the public body during the meeting if there are problems with access.
- d) Adjourning the meeting if the public is unable to access the meeting.

32 33 34

Chairman Plourde continued by stating that there is one case to be heard tonight. He then proceeded to summarize the hearing process, rules, and procedures for Board Members, applicants and the general public. J. Plourde asked all in attendance to mute themselves unless speaking.

36 37 38

39

40

41

35

Chairman Plourde stated that all votes that are taken during this meeting must be done by Roll Call vote. He started the meeting by taking roll call attendance. He asked each member to state their name and state whether there was anyone in the room with them during this meeting, which is required under the Rightto-Know law: Rob Costantino at home alone; Karin Lagro at home alone, Jason Plourde at home alone; Lincoln Daley at home alone.

42 43 44

Case #2020-30

Daniel Illg, 21 Bear Court, Milford Tax Map 53, Lot 35-27 is seeking a SPECIAL EXCEPTION 45 from the Milford Zoning Ordinance, Article V, Section 5.04.2.A.7 to allow the construction of a 46 432 sq. ft. addition to the existing single-family residence within 10 feet of the rear property line 47 in the Residential "R" district. 48

49 50

51 52 Chairman Plourde indicated there are three regular members present tonight and no alternates, asking if the applicant would like to proceed with only three members. Daniel Illg responded that he would like to proceed. D. Illg moved forward with the presentation noting that some of

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA DECEMBER 17, 2020, CASE #2020-30 DANIEL ILLG SPECIAL EXCEPTION

the paperwork was submitted using "Variance" terminology, however it is a Special Exception to add an addition to the back of his home which would impact the rear property line and setback. D. Illg explained the application, the addition layout and location chosen for the addition. Due to the location of utilities, the location of the addition was chosen, if this is not approved, a much smaller addition would be done and would be visible on the street side of the cul-de-sac. The addition being proposed will be on the back of the house. R. Costantino commended the completeness of the presentation and all of his questions were answered within it; it is clear that the addition must be on the back of the house because of septic location. R. Costantino did caution to not encroach into the open space land that Mr. Illg abuts.

L. Daley also encouraged Mr. Illg not to encroach further than what is necessary into the open space since you do not want to impact that. L. Daley asked why it could not be more of a rectangular shape instead of square shape? D. Illg said if we did that, the kitchen sink and window would be impacted, and that is not a good idea. J. Plourde noted the application is thorough his only question was about the shape but that was just answered. There were no further questions from the Board. J. Plourde opened the meeting to the public, noting to raise your hand if you wish to speak.

Jeff Wells, 24 Bear Court, said there was no elevation included in the plan, what is the level of this room, and is it on the same level as the kitchen? D. Illg responded that it is the same level as the rest of the house, there is no step up or step down. J. Wells asked what the height of the addition will be from ground level. D. Illg responded his estimate is 6-7' from the ground. J. Well asked if that is to the back of the family room? L. Daley clarified asking what will be height at the peak of the addition from the ground? D. Illg said 18-20' to that peak. J. Wells asked if the side facing his property will have windows? D. Illg answered yes it will, with two feet in between. J. Plourde indicated those windows will be facing the woods based on the angle, they will not be facing directly at Mr. Wells' house. If the addition was toward the cul-de-sac it would be facing his property. J. Wells said this is a good presentation but in the application it states that the addition will not be seen by abutters, but he will see it. D. Illg said the purpose of this hearing is for the 5' encroachment into the setback. If this were in the front of the house, he would not need to be here. J. Wells does not have any objections to this but he referenced other additions known to him and suggested there might be other configurations that could be considered. J. Plourde responded that the ZBA looks at the case before them and makes a decision based on the ordinance, the ZBA is looking at the Special Exception that is requested. If we deny this, Mr. Illg might come up with something different but tonight there is a 15' setback impact and he is proposing an addition to his home that will go into the setback by 5'. That is what is being presented tonight, that is what the ZBA is considering.

J. Wells indicated he paid a premium for his lot and would like for it to remain as it was when purchased. R. Constantino commented that if Mr. Illg did what he originally wanted to do and built the addition on the southern side of his house, that would not be preferable to the neighbors, but he cannot do that because of the septic location. J. Wells indicated he feels that this addition will reduce the value of his home. D. Illg asked if he is suggesting that this will reduce the view of the trees and thereby reduce the value of his home? J. Plourde does not understand the comments being made by Mr. Wells. L. Daley asked Mr. Wells if his issue is the visual mitigation would be lessened? J. Wells said if he had to choose whether to look at the addition, with the windows on that side or the trees, he would choose the trees, and the windows on that side of the

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA DECEMBER 17, 2020, CASE #2020-30 DANIEL ILLG SPECIAL EXCEPTION

- addition will be visible from my property. K. Lagro said visual mitigation could be done with a
- fence or with addition foliage (trees). J. Wells indicated that would be fine. The vegetation over
- 3 by the addition will grow over time, evergreens are promoted and would solve the problem. D.
- 4 Illg stated that the existing trees have been thinned out by Mr. Wells since he has lived there, but
- 5 he agreed that more trees could be added on that side. J. Plourde asked if there were further
- 6 questions from the public. There were none. J. Plourde closed the public meeting. There were
- 7 no further comments or questions from the ZBA, therefore the Board entered deliberations.
- 8

9 DELIBERATIONS:

- 10 10.2.1
- 11
- 12 1 K. Lagro said this is a use allowed by Special Exception to go into the setback; R. Costantino
- said an addition to a single family home is allowed in this district; J. Plourde yes, this is allowed
- by SE in the ordinance as long as the criteria is met, this is a single family home that does not
- impact the visibility on the road.
- 2-R. Costantino said the location of the addition was discussed and it was determined that this is
- the only location it can be, based on septic and makes sense; K. Lagro said alternatives have been
- discussed and this will have the least impact for visibility; J. Plourde said the applicant would not
- be here if he could put the addition in another location; of the three options this is the most ap-
- 20 propriate.
- 3-K. Lagro said the setback is the open common land and as long as it does not go any further in,
- 22 there will not be any adverse effect; R. Costantino appreciates the comments from the abutter,
- 23 however the addition of 5' will not make a substantial difference to the adjacent area, the addi-
- 24 tion will not be in the setback very much so it is a minimal impact to the side of the house; J.
- 25 Plourde noted this will not cause harm to the adjacent area, this addition is not getting any closer
- to property lines or homes or structures.
- 4-R. Costantino, K. Lagro and J. Plourde all agreed that the proposed expansion will not have a
- 28 negative effect on pedestrians or vehicles.
- 29 5- K. Lagro indicated the addition is small and to the back of the house and does not require ad-
- ditional facilities; R. Costantino said this will be inspected by the Town to ensure it meets the
- requirements; J. Plourde agreed and noted that D. Illg has already talked with the Building In-
- spector and is going through the proper requirements.
- 3334
 - Seeing no further deliberations, J. Plourde entered voting:
- 35
- 36 <u>VOTING:</u>
- 37 10.2.1
- 38 1- K. Lagro yes; R. Costantino yes; J. Plourde yes.
- 2- R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.
- 40
- 41 10.2.1.A
- 42 1- K. Lagro yes; R. Costantino yes; J. Plourde yes.
- 43 2- R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.
- 44 3- K. Lagro yes; R. Costantino yes; J. Plourde yes.
- 45 4- R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; J. Plourde yes.
- 5-K. Lagro no; R. Costantino yes; J. Plourde yes.
- 47

MINUTES OF THE MILFORD ZBA DECEMBER 17, 2020, CASE #2020-30 DANIEL ILLG SPECIAL EXCEPTION

R. Costantino moved to approve Case 2020-30. K. Lagro seconded. A poll was taken: K. Lagro 1 no; R. Costantino yes; J. Plourde yes. 2 3 J. Plourde stated the criteria for Case 2020-30 has been satisfied, therefore the application is ap-4 5 proved. There is a 30-day appeal period which is January 17, 2021. 6 7 Due to only having 3 members present, R. Costantino moved to table the minutes for review to the next ZBA meeting. K. Lagro seconded. A poll was taken: R. Costantino yes; K. Lagro yes; 8 J. Plourde yes. L. Daley thanked the ZBA for a busy year working for the Town of Milford on 9 the Zoning Board of Adjustment. R. Costantino thanked L. Daley for making it easier for the 10 members getting through this zoom year. J. Plourde thanked L. Daley and D. Bouffard for assist-11 ing the Board through a very busy year for the ZBA. 12 13 14 K. Lagro moved to adjourn at 8:04 p.m. R. Costantino seconded. A poll was taken: K. Lagro yes; R. Costantino yes; J. Plourde yes. The meeting was adjourned at 8:04 p.m. 15 16 17 Motion to Approve: 18 19 Seconded: 20 21 Signed: 22 Date: 23

THE MINUTES OF CASE #2020-30 ON 12/17/2020 WERE APPROVED 2/18/21

24

25